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PROCEEDINGS
(Recording of the meeting began at 2:49
p.m.)

DR. NEIMAND: Welcome, everyone. This is our first meeting to all discuss --
(Off the record.)
DR. NEIMAND: Good afternoon, everyone, and welcome to the meeting of the By-Laws Committee. We're running a little bit late. We were waiting for quorum. We've got quorum now.

The purpose of this meeting is to begin a conversation about modification of the existing by-laws. It is my understanding that a review has shown that there are some contradictions, some redundant information and some clarity needed and therefore, we are having this committee take place and we will begin our work.

This ultimately will result in some modifications that will be brought to the entire Board at the summer retreat in August, so that is what we are planning to look at today.

So, I guess, the next order of business is if there's any public comments. Is there any public here today?

MR. HAJ: No.

DR. NEIMAND: Okay. So, I guess, each of you has received the existing by-laws as well as the modifications to the by-laws. And I think Imran can walk us through the changes.

MR. ALI: And before $I$ do that, Laurie wanted to say anything?

MS. NUELL: The only thing I would say is that Imran and $I$, the process has been, we reviewed the by-laws. Any suggested changes or things that have been happening or the way that we've either been doing it -one of the things I've been worried about is either we have the by-laws and we don't follow some of them or we do things that are not in the by-laws.

So, let's either follow the by-laws or change them based on the way that the organization has been running. They haven't really been amended since the beginning except for a few things here and there.

So, we started this with the intent and idea that this committee and then throughout the Board and through other committee work really have the ultimate job and role of, you know, reviewing and making recommendations.

The other part $I$ just want to share is that after Imran and $I$ went through it a bunch of times and, you know, pretty carefully, then we talked to the
attorneys about it.
And really, the reason we wanted to bring it to the attorneys is so not for necessarily their specific input on if something was, like, legal or not legal, but to be prepared as discussion happens so that they wouldn't be, like, you know, oh, we have to come back to you, so they're aware of a lot of the, you know, issues that came up.

So, that's been the process that we've been doing for a little while. And once we started to kind of highlight and clean up some stuff, and now it's over to you all to take it away. So, there's nothing set in stone at all. It's all just ready for -- we just did a little of the, you know, pre-work for you.

MR. ALI: Isaac, Muriel sent you the draft that we're looking at, if you could check your e-mail.

MR. SALVER: Yeah, I'll do that right now. MR. ALI: So, as Susan mentioned, you know, we've tried to eliminate a lot of duplications. We tried to adjust the wording which is duplicative in a lot of sections. We added some additional language.

So, with the election of officers, we had some changes here. You can see that we struck the section that says that the chair will also accept nominations from the floor.

And then when we go to the Nominating
Committee, those of you may remember when we had -those of you who were present at the last Nominating Committee, we had a lot of discussion as to the process to be used about filling a vacancy. So we did include it under that section of the Nominating Committee of how we think it should flow based upon the input we got at the last Nominating Committee.

We were concerned about it, and I know that our attorneys told us, you know, Roberts Rules allows for voting from the floor. They also recommended that if we have a process to follow, we do not necessarily have to follow Roberts Rules of Order.

So, this was tweaked a little bit to read, as it says now, we will not accept nominations from the floor. Everyone will have the chance to either nominate themselves or send the nomination of someone who would want to be considered for the election of officers.

And as the new process highlights, we could either do a questionnaire before we vote on them as to why they want to be in that position or we could invite them to the Nominating Committee for them to present why is it they would make the best candidate. So, that was updated in the nominating section.

Under the terms of officers --

DR. NEIMAND: I'm sorry. I just want to comment. I think these are very good recommendations for this. Based on the experience that we just went through with the Nominating Committee, it makes a lot of sense to do this.

MR. ALI: Okay. Under the terms of officers, the highlighted section is a new piece. As you know, the statute and the ordinance was changed, you know, to include and clarify the two positions for our local alliance or coalition on past system planning and the one for the religious organization.

We have prepared a resolution two months ago to bring to the Board but we decided to hold it until we clarified how we will select those candidates and their organization for these two positions.

So basically, I took this from the resolution that Shanika and Leigh had edited, a piece of that to include here to read exactly as the resolution read. So, the Board sometime will have to decide about those two organizations and also who will fill those seats. Go ahead. Isaac, we have to remind you, you can't speak.

MR. SALVER: No, I got it. I got it.
MR. ALI: Okay.
MS. KOBRINSKI: I might just say, Imran,
that this might just -- we should consider putting this in a separate section because this is under "Officers" and it's really not --

MR. ALI: Yeah, Laurie had made that suggestion, too. I didn't want to change -- it's changing every two minutes here, so I thought that we could discuss it.

MS. KOBRINSKI: Maybe it goes under the "Nominating Committee" section, that they'll just be responsible for electing these two positions or reviewing these two positions.

DR. NEIMAND: Should it be under
"Membership?"
MS. KOBRINSKI: Or it could go under
"Membership."
MS. NUELL: It is membership. So either --
MR. ALI: Nominating Committee or
Membership.
MS. NUELL: And maybe -- some of these have, like, a reference, so maybe under "Membership" and then with a reference to "Nominating."

MR. ALI: We can do it that way.
MS. KOBRINSKI: The only other thing is, if you put it in "Membership," it doesn't -- it's not like all the other terms of office where the members are --

MR. HINCAPIE: Part of the organization of the Board once the membership is established from the 33 different --

MS. KOBRINSKI: I think it might -- yeah, I
think it might be best in "Nominating Committee."
MR. ALI: The vacancies of officers, they are in a highlighted section that's new. We wanted to make it clear that the Nominating Committee had this role to consider nominations and for filling vacancies and make those recommendations to the Board to fill those vacancies at the regular or special meeting as soon as reasonably possible, so that's just an addition for clarity.

The duties for officers --
MR. HINCAPIE: What vacancies are these?
These are not -- this is not talking about the vacancies that are supposed to regular appointments --

MS. NUELL: That's officers.
MR. HINCAPIE: Oh, officers, okay.
MR. ALI: (F) \& (G) were added to clarify the role of the Board chair to include the ability to negotiate the contract, approve salary and benefits of the CEO in consultation with the HR Committee and to approve salary increases based upon budget allocations approved by the Board at the beginning of the fiscal
year.
And then (G) gives the chair the ability to appoint a search committee if the CEO resigns, so these are additions to the by-laws.

DR. NEIMAND: Were there any provisions here before that would change or this wasn't even --

MR. ALI: No. I think the last time we had the situation, I think, we went to the full Board to ask permission to create a contract for a search committee, so we had to call a meeting for that.

DR. NEIMAND: This makes it so much
smoother.
MR. ALI: The next section is the
committees. We cleaned up the language because it's so repetitive. And instead of the Board chair having to go -- to decide who's going to be on the committee and then take it to the full Board, it gives the chair the ability to appoint chairs, vice chairs where applicable and also to appoint members of the different committees.

So, all the committee sections on the next couple of pages, those are the major changes except when you get to the Finance. We talked a little bit about the selection of the audit firm.

I don't know if, as we move on, we want to look at the role of the Finance Committee. It speaks
about, you know, an appropriate budget and financial management policies that cover the use of the funds of the Trust.

We combined two sections. There was one additional section and we added this letter (D). And then we included -- it used to be quarterly, so we changed to twice a year.

The Board may request that we get a report. We get a report about the management investment of funds of the Children's Trust.

Now, I don't know -- I can't speak -- I think there was a time when $I$ sat on the Board, they used to have an investment firm come into the Board meetings to give a report on the investments of the funds because the funds were invested in different portfolios.

But, I think, as it went down, the interest rate went so low, we stopped investing the funds. So I don't know how applicable -- it may get back to that point. But for right now, we just want to have it in case we go back as to how we invest the funds.

It also includes when we have some money to put some more services, it comes back to the Board, which we normally do. We can't do anything unless the Board approves any additional funds.

Review financial statements, we took out the language, "forward to the Board" because in the financial section, the Finance Committee normally does that. They review all of the financial reports. Historically, that has never been going to the Board.

Section (I), that's basically a new section to comply with the section that speaks about the role of the audit committee and audit services. And we did clarify a little bit about the selection committee. It will be created by staff and once that's created, the final selection of the audit firm will be done by the Finance Committee.

MS. NUELL: I wanted to jump in here. That is currently happening right now. So previously, it said that there was an audit sub-committee. We didn't have an audit sub-committee. The Finance Committee really just served as an audit sub-committee.

So, this was the year to solicit a new auditor, and so we went through this whole process with the attorneys of how to -- how this was supposed to happen.

And they informed us that, you know, the way that needed to happen, which is the Finance Committee -and some of us are on the Finance Committee -- but the Finance Committee helped create the criteria to select a
new auditor. And then that's done internally and then they come back to the committee. So that's why there's a big change here, but that's currently how it is being done, a brand-new, new way of doing it. It's not the way that we did it before.

MR. ALI: And this language was basically created from the statute. It was written by Bill to clarify, the CFO. We wanted to make sure that he was comfortable with this.

And then on $H R$, on (D), we added to the annual performance evaluation to include that the Board chair will also have a part in negotiating the contract to include the salary and benefits of the CEO.

As you know, this year, the chair had asked the entire Board for permission to work with the $H R$ and Executive Committee to review the evaluation and make any contract negotiations possible, so we're just making it clear in the by-laws.

Thoughts on that?
(NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)
MR. ALI: Okay, moving along. The
Nominating Committee is the next change where we basically struck a piece and we included hopefully language that makes it clearer. And there's a section where we speak about, under (B) and under (D) what the
process should be.
Now, there was some discussion, when I
looked at the transcript, also, about -- maybe there was
a lot of discussion about succession management at the last committee, those of you who were present.

And I don't know how you feel about that. I left it out but, you know, I just wanted to get -MS. JACOBO: Where are you now? I'm sorry. MR. ALI: The Nominating Committee, section (D).

MS. JACOBO: "D" like in David, okay.
MR. ALI: And here, in section (B), we speak about the two new positions. We included the two officers. And the Nominating Committee, we spoke about the succession management which, I believe, the vice chair got up and was very vocal about that.

So, I left it out but $I$ just wanted everyone on the by-laws committee to have a chance as to -- if they have any thoughts.

DR. NEIMAND: The conversation was such that if the chair can't, then the next, the vice chair, and then there was all the concerns about people who are getting to their term limits and what if a person doesn't want to move into that position. So, I think it was a good idea not to put it in.

MR. ALI: That's exactly why I didn't, because a lot of people, people who $I$ spoke to from the Board, I mean, a lot of times, they're not interested in moving into those positions, you know. And even with the filling of the secretary, we maybe just had two candidates. People, for some reason, they're all busy and they just don't like to take on any additional responsibility.

So that's why Laurie may ask me to call and I ask the candidate, you want to make sure they're interested before we even consider them. Even to be on a committee, you know, you call and ask, are you interested in being on a committee, because we don't want to ask someone or find someone or force someone to do something that they may not want to do.

And then the rest of them on this page is just --

MS. KOBRINSKI: I'm sorry. We need to go back and talk about under (F) $H$ and $I$.

MS. NUELL: Under "Committees?"
MS. KOBRINSKI: (F) subsection (H) and (I) about resolutions going to the Board after committee.

MR. ALI: So this is about the resolutions that will be presented in committee for review and recommendation before being forwarded to the Board. I
mean, why is this, you know, even if we wanted to present a resolution, we couldn't present it to the full Board unless it was in committee.

So, if it's something really urgent, we had to call an Executive Committee and have it ratified at the full Board. A lot of times, we used to have discussion, not so much with our two present attorneys, but with Maria, what she considered to be an emergency, we did not consider, and then it was a hassle about what constitutes an emergency to call an Executive Committee.

So we're hoping that this will make it clear that if, you know, it has to go to the committee first. And then some of you may remember, a few Program Committee meetings ago, we had a lot of people having to recuse themselves. They only had two people allowed to vote, and then one voted for and one voted against, right?

So, because we couldn't decide how we were going to proceed, you know, then one of the members, we said, it wouldn't go to the full Board, then she changed her vote to make sure it went to the full Board. Here, we're saying that even if you have something that, you know, failed at the meeting, it could still be presented to the full Board for consideration.

MS. NUELL: So, this says, "Resolution
will," so it's not "could."
MR. ALI: Yeah, because you don't want to
delay it.
MS. NUELL: Right. So, I mean, it could
fail, you know what $I$ mean, like --
MR. ALI: No, but it's only talking about if
you have, like, a tie.
MS. NUELL: A tie, okay.
MS. KOBRINSKI: No, this is saying, in that
event, if it was a tie, you need a majority in order to
proceed to pass it. So because this was one and one, it
failed. So, in this case, in this new revision, you're
saying, even if it failed, it's still going to go to the
Board with a negative committee recommendation for the
Board to consider.
MS. NUELL: Okay. That's why I wanted to
clarify it, because it said "could," so I was, like,
then that means it's up for a decision to be made but
with a negative -- okay. That's reasonable.
MR. ALI: Page 5 is pretty clear, just
edits. On the background, we --
MS. NUELL: Excuse me. I have a question.
I think Muriel suggested this and I see -- I think the
Nominating Committee, was it originally ad-hoc? And
then, I think, there was a recommendation to move it
into a standing committee?
MR. ALI: A regular -- yeah, a standing
committee. Yeah, we made that a standing committee.
MS. NUELL: So that would be the
recommendation for that.
MR. ALI: So, on page 7, the attorneys wanted to talk a little bit about the consent agenda. You know, for years, I spoke with Maria about having a consent agenda that replicates the one the BCC follows. MS. JACOBO: The one that who follows? Sorry.

MR. ALI: The BCC. The BCC has a consent agenda. A lot of other companies have a consent agenda. So, we wanted to see whether the Board, the by-laws committee with a recommendation, would consider a consent agenda.

Both Muriel and Leigh had a discussion with me, saying they didn't think it was a good idea to have this ability. It doesn't fit our Board. I'll have her explain their position.

MS. KOBRINSKI: Well, when the Board of County Commissioners has a consent agenda, it encompasses certain items. I mean, they have a very long agenda. They have certain items-- basically, they get presented with an initial agenda, and items that
fall under the consent agenda are ones that kind of don't require much discussion.

And they can get pulled in order to get discussed. But it requires, you know, a couple of days before the meeting, they have to get pulled to be a discussion item.

And it just didn't seem to fit with the nature of the Children's Trust. I mean, the things here that are being proposed to be included are routine matters, such as appointments to committees.

So, this is no longer relevant. The Board chair is making all the appointments. Staff appointments requiring Board confirmation, it's unclear what that's in reference to.

Reports provided for information only, those don't require -- if they're just being provided for information, they don't require a vote. Correspondence requiring no action, it doesn't require a vote.

The consent agenda items will require a vote but they just don't require any sort of discussion associated with it. So if the intent is just to provide an informational section, then that can just be as part of the -- you can make that part of the agenda at the end, saying, you know, informational updates, and then make those available to Board members without requiring
a discussion about them.
There's not really a need -- I mean, this would envision sending out the agenda. Everyone -- all the Board members have to review it. They have to decide whether or not they want to pull something to discuss it, communicate back to Muriel, then provide an updated agenda that would then be presented to the Board.

And it just doesn't really seem to work with the nature of this Board. And that would require, I mean, $I$ don't think there's that much fat on the Children's Trust agenda that would require, you know, this sort of master provision.

But that's our interpretation. And certainly, if the Board wants to have some sort of consent agenda items, it could be formulated. We could work to prepare it. But as far as our office is concerned, no. We work with this as far as the Board of County Commissioners. We just don't really see how it translates.

MS. JACOBO: What would be the -- what would be something, like, that's happening now with the Board that is taking up a ton of time?

DR. NEIMAND: Okay. So, I was going to say the opposite, that we really don't have things that are
on the Board agenda that take up the time. We do --
MS. JACOBO: The minutes are fast.
DR. NEIMAND: The minutes are very fast and
then we get our reports from the various committees.
The only thing that is informational is when Jim updates us and shares correspondence. And that's important. I would like to hear it from him.

So, I don't know that there's a need for this. I don't think that these are things that come up regularly. And as you said, now we have to send everything out and people have to read it and agree and, you know, that becomes very time-consuming of your time as opposed to the Board time.

But I think the Board meetings have been pared down and streamlined, you know. I always get my e-mails from Vivianne, do $I$ have any questions. And if I do, she's very receptive and information is shared with me. So when I go into those meetings, I have everything that I need. So, I'm not sure whether this is a necessary piece. I can only speak for me.

MS. JACOBO: And I agree with that. I think the things that do take up a lot of time is sometimes we think there's a lot -- that these would not be things that would go on a consent agenda anyway. These would be things that would require discussion even if it's a
lot of the same discussion over and over. It's not something that would be on this.

DR. NEIMAND: No, but I think some of those discussions are important to have and we should be listening to them. But that's not this.

MS. JACOBO: So that's a big "X".
MR. HAJ: But is there a possible way that we can group resos? Not necessarily to have consent. We would take, like, the technology resos with three, four or five different items. Is there a way that's not necessarily consent but we can group them?

MS. JACOBO: Group them to do what, though? Group them to --

DR. NEIMAND: All these are for early childhood.

MR. HAJ: Technology or --
MS. JACOBO: I mean, I think you can group them, but you're still going to have, like, you know, if a Board member has specific questions about a particular resolution, it doesn't matter what group it's in. You're going to end up having a big discussion about it.

DR. NEIMAND: I'm wondering if the Board members will be concerned that you are shutting their voice down by grouping them that way.

MS. JACOBO: But explain to me what you mean
by "grouping," just putting them all in one resolution or just putting the resolutions together, like --

MR. HAJ: Putting the resolutions together,
like, these four resos --
MS. JACOBO: Oh, you vote on the four resos
together?
MR. HAJ: Yes.
MR. ALI: You may remember, on the Finance Committee, you have, like, four or five forms of communication. That's basically the same thing. You just separate them.

MS. KOBRINSKI: But, I mean, you have certain people that need to maybe recuse on something that, you know, you're going to preclude them from voting on five resolutions because they're coupled into one.

And I think you do that to an extent. You say, the next three resolutions relate to our programming committee and this is our RFP and you introduce it that way, so people know that they're together.

But as far as, you know, lumping all the communications resolutions together, we would not approve that for legal sufficiency if you try to cram five different contracts into one.

MS. JACOBO: Can I ask a question? Maybe, could we -- could we explain them all together and then vote on them separately? In other words, these are three resolutions, if there discussion, maybe moving of all three and then have that discussion and then vote one by one? Would that be time-saving? Is that something that we can --

DR. NEIMAND: But does that come under this broad category of consent agenda?

MS. KOBRINSKI: I don't think so.
DR. NEIMAND: Consent means no discussion?
MS. JACOBO: And do we even need to do that in the by-laws? I mean, would we even -- do we even need to do that in the by-laws? Like, if you wanted to do that, is that something that needs to be changed in the by-laws if we wanted them together like that and have the discussion, or can we just do it?

MS. KOBRINSKI: I think you could just -- I think you could just do that. I think you have been doing that. You've been saying, we have three resolutions that relate to the Programs RFP or something of that sort.

MS. NUELL: The way our agenda is organized, we can organize it in a different format. There's no rules, I guess, I'm asking that question. I never
really thought about it before. It's just the way that we've done it. It could be organized in a different way if staff or the Board thought there would be a better way to receive it.

DR. NEIMAND: Yeah, that's a great topic for our retreat.

MS. NUELL: That's what $I$ was thinking. That might be something, you know, to talk about there, or even having an example, like, you know, this is what it would look like or, you know, that kind of thing, for our retreat, to really have an idea of what, you know, if we think it will make it more efficient and easier to understand or receive.

MR. ALI: The next two pages are clarifications, some edits. And under "Decorum," we did include a \#5 on page 9 with calling in on the phone --

MS. NUELL: You missed 8, page 8.
MS. JACOBO: I think he was just saying, these are clarifications.

MR. ALI: Yeah, these are just
clarifications. All right. We added the transcript. We posted it to the website. We added the fact that all actions will be reviewed by the Clerk of the Board or designated staff.

Because what we do, from time to time, what

I do is, I approve the transcript to make sure that the minutes are accurate. Sometimes, you know, we make mistakes as to who moved it and who didn't vote. So, we're just making it clear who has that responsibility. I know the attorneys also do that but we're just making it clear who's responsible. And then we --

MS. NUELL: Can I interrupt?
MR. ALI: Yes.
MS. NUELL: One thing that $I$ wanted to clarify, so under "Minutes Recording," it says, "Summary of the actions taken by the Board." What we were -when we met and we were talking, we were, like, what we get of the minutes are the resolutions that were -where we don't get the transcript, we get a summary that includes the resolutions that were voted on.

So, part of the discussion that Imran and I were having, and then also Leigh and Shanika, it doesn't really account for other actions that may come up, like, we created a committee or we decided we wanted to, you know, do something.

And so it's not necessarily a -- it's not a resolution form that the minutes don't always -- that we read don't usually reflect that. So that's why there's a little bit of a change there, and that's something, you know, to discuss if we want that or not, the
actions. So, if there are other actions that occurred at the meeting, that they would be included in the minutes.

So, I just wanted to bring that up. That's kind of like a change because it's, you know, of course, there's transcripts but we don't actually -- I mean, you can go on-line and read the transcripts. So that's kind of a -- that's a clarification.

MR. ALI: So instead of just the recommended actions, that the resolutions, anything else discussed, we summarize that also, so that would be in addition to what we already do.

Under (G) Notices, we took out the meeting, the action meeting of the documents and notices, you know, because we moved most of that by e-mail, even though we did allow that to continue to be mail, we do it electronically and then we also place it on the Trust calendar.

Under "Public Comments," we did add to make it clear that public comments will be on the agenda at the beginning of the meeting. As you may remember, we used to have it at the end. Then we voted it to the front, so now we're just making it clear.

And then under (B), we added that designees, the ordinance and the statute permit must be given to
the Clerk of the Board for such appointment. The situation with Esther is a good example or with Nelson, they're the Mayor's designate, so it must be sent in writing to the Clerk of the Board.

Under (D) on the next page 9, I think it has a majority. But in keeping with the new procurement policy, we needed two-thirds of the Board of the members that are serving on the Board. We just wanted them to be compatible.

And then under (5), we added this piece here that you could call in. You don't count towards quorum. You cannot vote and you cannot participate in discussions.

MS. JACOBO: Okay. So I'm going to be Isaac since he can't speak. So, what's the purpose? Why can't we change that so that people can participate at least in discussion? Let's say that we say no quorum, but why can't they discuss?

MS. KOBRINSKI: It's part of the Sunshine law. Our office has kind of taken a conservative view of it. But essentially, the purpose of Sunshine is that everyone is together, you know, everyone -- whenever more than two Board members are in one room discussing an item, it has to be Sunshine. It has to be noticed. It has to be publicly available and you have to have
minutes taken.
So, with a Board member calling in from outside by telephone, it's unclear if there's anyone else in the room with him, who else he maybe consulting with, and so that kind of defeats the purpose of the Sunshine law.

There are some, you know, there may be some Boards, but our office has always taken the conservative view to comply with the Sunshine, the Board members need to be physically present.

MS. JACOBO: So, I've been on several Sunshine Boards where the Board members can call in. Noticeably, the Our Kids Board, members call in all the time to listen to their notice.

So, you know, so I wonder if there's some -at least for the purposes of discussion, you know. I can understand the quorum. I don't know if anybody disagrees with me on that but, I mean, is there some wiggle room for discussion purposes?

I mean, everyone is, you know, we assume they're public officers. I mean, you know, we're going to have to have some trust factor to that. I mean, honestly, you know, if we're going to feel like, we can walk out of the room and I can have a phone call with somebody and be following the Sunshine.

So, I mean, we would hope that everyone is honest and not doing those things because they're on the phone.

DR. NEIMAND: I'm just worried if we have three Board members calling in, you know, how organized does our meeting become or disorganized? Who's talking or who's, you know, the decorum of what we're doing. That's the first thing that comes to my mind.

MR. ALI: I can tell you from experience on the Juvenile Justice Board, I mean, they do allow you to call in and be part of the discussion. But that becomes very disorganized because someone will be on mute or off mute, and sometimes you can't hear them, and then sometimes they're off mute and you hear all the music in the background. Then it becomes very disorganized with the calling in.

DR. NEIMAND: There are so many distractions. If I sit in my office and I pretend that I'm at a Children's Trust Board meeting and people are walking by and, you know, I can't talk to you. We all know that. And you're working at the same time.

And it's problematic, because you want people physically here for the Board meetings and now you're giving them an escape clause, so to speak.

MS. JACOBO: Unless you say -- unless you
say they're not voting and they're not counted for quorum, right, and then they're not really -- they don't really count except that they may want to contribute to the discussion.

Listen, you know, I just -- there are two rationales. I mean, I think we need to decide what our rationale is. If our rationale is that legally, it's not something we should do because it might violate the Sunshine, that's rationale \#1.

If what we're saying is, as a Board, we decide that it's too chaotic, that's rationale \#2, right? So, it's one or the other. I mean, I actually think that the second rationale is probably a better rationale because $I$ don't think we mistrust Board members to pretend they're not in the room.

But if it's a chaotic issue, then that's a different issue. So, Isaac, sorry, but you can't talk, but I tried for you. I know you'd say "thank you" if you could. Who knows who you're in the room with.

MS. NUELL: For the record, I did, too. But I will say -- go ahead.

MR. HINCAPIE: No, no, I have a question about something else.

MS. NUELL: No, I will say, also, one of the things that $I$ think our Board is working very well
together over the last few years, and part of that is being together and knowing each other, you know. If you didn't have to attend, like, especially the committee meetings and you could just call in, I think a lot of people might opt for that. Although you can't vote and you don't have quorum, it would hurt it, so, I think we should consider that part.

MR. HINCAPIE: I have a question about the -- going back, I'm sorry, to Article $4(1)(B)$. Looking at --

MS. JACOBO: Which page are you on?
MR. HINCAPIE: I'm sorry, 8, page 8.
Article 4(1)(B), the public official is entitled to designee. So, the Mayor has two appointments, according to -- I'm looking at the website. So, the second appointment is a non-voting. Why does he have two appointments and one of them is -- so, I never remember the Mayor being part of the Children's Trust. It was only as a designee.

MR. HAJ: Here, it's designated. And then they have the County Manager position and that got eliminated.

MR. HINCAPIE: That's what it is. That's what it is. So the County -- the County Manager -- the County Manager had a vote.

MS. KOBRINSKI: Any references in the Code to the County Manager are now references to the Mayor. And so if the Mayor showed up at one of our meetings, he could have a vote.

MR. HINCAPIE: He could have a vote.
MS. KOBRINSKI: But the ordinance does not say "Mayor or his designee." So, this person, \#4, it has to be the Mayor. So, Insom Kim is his representative here but she's not a voting member.

The other -- the other one does say -- the other spot that you fill does say "Mayor or designee." So, you are the Mayor's designee, so you are a voting member but Insom Kim is not a voting member.

MR. HINCAPIE: But he's exercising the -- he is the County Manager?

MS. KOBRINSKI: Yes. If he came to a Children's Trust Board meeting, he could vote. But Insom --

MR. HINCAPIE: -- can't vote.
MS. KOBRINSKI: -- can't because it doesn't specifically permit it.

MR. HINCAPIE: That's by ordinance?
MS. KOBRINSKI: Yes. And I think it actually might be in the state statute, too, because we tend to --

MR. HINCAPIE: So, technically --
MS. NUELL: You gave up?
MR. HINCAPIE: Yeah, because, you know, we've both served four years.

MS. NUELL: There was a change.
MR. HINCAPIE: But the change happened -the change happened -- the Mayor change happened seven years ago.

MS. NUELL: No, no, in our -- when we cleaned up the ordinance this past year, correct? Is that correct?

MS. KOBRINSKI: I don't think it came to your attention.

MS. NUELL: Yeah, so it got, you know, we cleaned it up. We made the change -- we had to make the change for Maggie. The superintendent didn't actually have a designee.

Although Maggie was his designee, somewhere along the line in the last 15 years, someone gave the superintendent, not by any legal reason, a designee. So, anyway, that got changed where Maggie could vote and then cleaned it up.

And actually, the Mayor then made that decision that you were filling the designee position and Insom was filling the non-designee position whereas
before, they both came and served and voted. So, there was another -- we're cleaning up all those loose ends. MS. KOBRINSKI: The superintendent statute changed to allow for a designee recently. So, previously, it was not --

MR. HINCAPIE: But can we change the
Mayor's --
MS. KOBRINSKI: It's a statute. It's a state statute that the County Manager --

MS. NUELL: So, yes, before they both voted. And then when it got amended, that was his choice, by the way. I guess you just didn't know. MR. HINCAPIE: And I'm sure no vote has passed because of one vote.

MR. HAJ: Let's hope it stays that way. MS. NUELL: We're just trying to follow all the ordinances and laws.

MR. ALI: On page 10, clarification language for who was elected to make it clear the attendance requirements. And conflict of interest under (3), we added the Children's Trust funds.

On (4), we added the code of ethics policy to include the appearance of impropriety which, a lot of times, we ask for the Ethics Commission's ruling on what might be a situation where a Board member or staff who
may have an appearance of impropriety should be taken in the forefront of any decisions we make regarding conflict of interest.

Next one is just for clarification. Budget and chief CEO section, we did add the factor that the Board chair can negotiate and refer back to the other two provisions we included under the $H R$ and the chair of the Board.

On page 12, we took out the section that referred back to the $H R$ committee by majority stated. It's redundant. We added, provide quarterly reports under the CEO, taking out the discretionary funds, so now the amendments report.

And under the auditor, we cleared it up that they could be come in front of the Finance Committee and we clarified that it was five years. We were trying to see whether we could include seven years, which is a practice that our staff was looking at, but we thought it best to use the cycle of five years.

MS. JACOBO: Can I ask a question? Going back to something -- I just waited until the end to discuss the human resources part, which is on page 4, and then mentioned again a little later on.

I just wanted some clarification. So, the Board chair can negotiate salary and benefits for the

CEO and president with no -- with no ratification by the Board, just kind of sign off on that without Board ratification?
(NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)
MS. JACOBO: Yes?
MR. ALI: That's what we were asking for.
MS. JACOBO: Okay. So --
MS. NUELL: With the committee.
MS. JACOBO: With the -- okay. With the HR committee, but then it's before the full Board?
(NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)
MS. JACOBO: Okay. So, I mean, it doesn't happen, like, in the time of, you know, there's only been, like, two Board chairs, right? It's been long-serving positions.

But it's the biggest high-profile position in our, you know, in our organization. Does anyone have concerns that that might be seen as less transparent than if it goes at least for ratification, after all the work is done, to the full Board? Did you find that to be very cumbersome last time when we --

DR. NEIMAND: I think it needs to come to the full Board. I was the one who wanted to meet him. I was the one who wanted to see him. Because as much as I believe that the committee did their due diligence and
did a fabulous job, I think, with respect to the entire Board, that it should be ratified by the Board.

And then I think that there's no
impropriety, that there's some kind of cabal going on behind the scenes, that they put their man in and the rest of us just have to --

MS. JACOBO: Like, I mean, unlike the other positions at the Trust, the CEO is, you know, the face of the Trust. I mean, so I feel, like, you know, all the work being done behind the scenes is great, but at the end of the day --

MR. HINCAPIE: But this is -- you're referring to the hiring process. You're referring to negotiating the contract and salary and --

MS. JACOBO: Right. And don't get me wrong. There's no mistrust. I think everything is above-board. But, you know, with this kind of position, you know, what you don't want is the appearance that's you're not being transparent with the CEO of the Children's Trust.

We want everyone to think that it was --
MR. ALI: Here, we're talking about the yearly increases and the performance.

MS. JACOBO: It's also talking about negotiating salary and benefits. So, not that this is happening, but there's, you know, what if, you know,
they decide to give the CEO an enormous salary increase that no one knows about except for the small committee, right, and the chair. Not that that's happening. I'm just saying that that's, you know, then you hear about that, right, I mean --

DR. NEIMAND: Right, or when his contract comes up and it's time to renegotiate.

MS. JACOBO: It really protects us. Listen, it's part of what -- for transparency for the community but it also is protective of the chair and the CEO so that there's no question about what happens behind the scene, I think.

MR. HINCAPIE: But if the chair and the CEO need protection like this, then we, as a Board, did a really poor job of hiring.

MS. JACOBO: Well, we didn't -- this would, I mean, there's also no, I mean, talking of hiring, there's also -- hiring is also -- right? I mean, that doesn't come from full Board ratification, either, does it?

MS. KOBRINSKI: It should be somewhere in the by-laws.

MS. NUELL: Actually, it should, yes.
MR. ALI: Yeah, I think that the hiring has to come from the full Board.

MS. NUELL: Does it say that, because it should. You know, this year, I asked permission, because this is the first time -- actually, we never had -- no CEO even had a contract. Even though it was in our by-laws that the CEO has a contract.

So, we asked permission from the Board. The Board said, sure, go do that. We went back and Jim and I, you know, negotiated and then came to, actually, the HR Committee and the Executive Committee together. So, that's like a large -- pretty large group of people.

You know, there's a certain appeal, I agree, in some respects, about the transparency. Obviously, it's public. On the other hand, you know, negotiating and discussing someone's contract in public with, you know, a hundred providers there and then, you know, that's kind of awkward as well and uncomfortable for a lot of people involved. So, you know, maybe, I don't know --

MR. HINCAPIE: That's how you did it -that's how the Board approved to do it that way --

MS. NUELL: Yes --
MR. HINCAPIE: -- and this is simply --
MS. NUELL: -- this time. This is simply
putting that process into the by-laws?
MS. NUELL: Yes.

MR. HINCAPIE: I -- I mean, listen, at the end, in my opinion, this -- the CEO of the Children's Trust is probably one of the most important positions, other than the County Mayor and probably the school superintendent, in terms of what they're able to do and how they're able to move families and children moving forward.

And to your point, I would hate to do it in a public forum. I mean, it's transparent. It's public. Everybody has access to it. But, you know, I happen to think, if that was the process, if what we're trying to do is just put what you just described, which was, you know, the Board approved it, it went to Human Resources and then it went to the Executive Committee, so different people, into the current by-laws, I think -- I think it's a good idea.

I agree with you that, you know, for it to be -- it's awkward, it's embarrassing, who knows. I know that there's a lot of people out there who are not happy because they haven't been funded for whatever reason and, you know, but $I$ also understand your point.

MS. JACOBO: I mean, listen, $I$ get all that.
And I just -- I, you know, I think the balance is that this is taxpayer money and this is the face of the Trust, and $I$ would hate for it to be -- for anyone to be
accused -- I'm not saying it's right -- but for anyone to be accused of doing something so that it's not in the public eye. But, you know --

DR. NEIMAND: But we're not making the
decision. We raised it as an issue --
MS. JACOBO: Right, I think it's -- right.
I mean, this still needs to go to the full Board.
MR. HINCAPIE: Right. But why -- what would be the appearance of this not being in the public eye? MS. JACOBO: Well, it's not in the public. That's why we're not doing it in the public eye because it's embarrassing in front of, you know, it could be embarrassing --

MR. HINCAPIE: But it's public information. If somebody wants to -- at the end of the day, it's public information.

MS. JACOBO: But it's also not involving the rest of the Board, so you have a number of people on the Board -- there's only a small number of Board members that are making the decision.

MS. NUELL: Which is less, actually, than the first time. So when we had that, Nelson is right, that was the hiring. But actually, the Executive Committee acted as a compensation committee because that had never even -- we had never even done that.

MS. JACOBO: Right. But what you did -- but what you did to make it transparent is go to the Board and say, I'm going to be meeting, is everyone okay with this, and by the way, if anyone is welcome to come, blah, blah, blah, which this would not permit -- you would not be doing this.

This wouldn't even be in the Board's, you know, I guess, their -- I'm blanking on the word -- in their -- on their agenda, on their -- in their view, right?

MR. ALI: Could it be stipulated, if the Board does decide, could it be that they just go along with the recommendations --

MS. JACOBO: Sure, of course.
MR. ALI: -- so they have a public
discussion? I mean --
MS. JACOBO: Yes. I think -- I don't think that -- I don't see this as someone sitting down -- the whole Board sitting down and negotiating a contract and going line by line over the contract.

I see this as what happens with the resolutions, which is, a really high overview of what we're doing, and we vote to say "yes" we agree with the committee's recommendation to hire the CEO or whatever, whatever the -- or to, you know, renegotiate the --
renegotiate, you know, the recommendation for the contract renegotiation or whatever it is.

But again, like you said, it's not for us to decide on today. But $I$ just bring that up because -and I think you're probably going to get a pushback from the full Board anyway on it when you bring this up. I know there are people that want at least to know what is happening when it's modified.

MR. HINCAPIE: Why don't we -- can you just add, "And submit it to the full Board for approval once it's negotiated?"

MS. JACOBO: Yeah, I mean, that's all I'm saying. I'm not saying it should be negotiated by the full Board. I'm saying it should, like, the Finance Committee, like everything else, right? It just --

MS. NUELL: Well, except that when you bring it to the Board, then it's completely open for discussion and tearing apart -- you're just tearing it apart. And actually --

DR. NEIMAND: Put it under a consent agenda.
MS. JACOBO: This is a consent agenda, right, there you go.

MS. NUELL: You know, but that's sort of my concern because then it's, like, well, why did you go three days and not five days or three years and not four
years, or one year, and then you could have an hour and-a-half discussion, which I'm not saying that's a bad thing but, you know, negotiating a contract with a CEO is, you know, Jim was very easy.

And actually, the Finance, I mean, the $H R$ and Executive Committee actually gave more than what we negotiated, you know, or not what I negotiated and what we agreed upon, so it was actually in his favor.

And I want the support because I never want to be accused, either, of -- and also, as we kept looking at all of this, both of us were, like, this isn't really necessarily about us or even about the people.

It's about the position, you know, like, we don't know who the next Board chair is or who the next CEO is. So, you know, you have to think in those terms as well.

So, I just want to make sure that -- you said it was in here, the hiring -- we should clarify something about that, you know. I think that needs to be added somewhere, you know, ratification by the full Board -- or not ratification. It's not really ratification, the hiring, it's the hiring.

MS. KOBRINSKI: Well, it does say -- under "Chief Executive Officer" --

MS. NUELL: Okay. I'm looking back there.
I didn't see it.
MS. KOBRINSKI: "President/Chief Executive Officer shall be employed by a vote of a majority of all members then serving on the Board."

DR. NEIMAND: I think less is more.
MR. ALI: Any additional thoughts, any recommendations? Laurie had one additional suggestion on the voting. Her suggestion that we include all Board members are invited to attend all committee meetings but only committee members can vote, are allowed to vote. I mean, it's an unspoken rule but we just wanted to make it clear.

MS. JACOBO: So, where are you? Oh, okay, that only committee members on the different committees can vote.

MR. ALI: I mean, we know that but no one ever --

MS. JACOBO: It's not in the by-laws.
MS. NUELL: Well, however many years some of us are here, and it sort of addresses that same issue. Anyone can come to any meeting and participate if you're in-person.

MR. HINCAPIE: It's just what everybody needs, another meeting they can't vote on.

MS. NUELL: Right, yes. So, they, you know, everyone's invited to participate, and I think they get noticed of every meeting. But I thought, I don't know if we should include that in here to, you know, essentially point out that you're invited to any meeting that you want to go to.

DR. NEIMAND: I don't think it's a bad idea to include that. Remember, we're just temporary. And it might not be a bad idea to include it because that's the way that we practice and that should be the practice.

MS. NUELL: Right.
DR. NEIMAND: And it's clarity. I mean, of the work that we're doing, it's fine to clarify areas that may be gray areas, so let's say it exclusively.

MR. ALI: Should we make it clear that they can be involved in the discussion but cannot vote? That would add more clarity.

MS. NUELL: I mean, I don't know about the voting, but $I$ think only members can vote. Like, I can't vote on any committee meeting.

MS. KOBRINSKI: Yeah, they can come -- any member of the public is welcome to join the discussion. But I assume that you would like to restrict who would be voting to the committee members. That could lead to
a lot of chaos and confusion if anyone just showed up.
MS. NUELL: But that can -- but the way we've been doing it, and $I$ think it does work, as a Board member, you can attend a meeting and you can participate. We want the participation.

MR. ALI: Back to you.
DR. NEIMAND: Okay. Any other discussion of this? Any other elements that are confusing, questions, issues you want to raise to bring back for the retreat?

MS. KOBRINSKI: What's the process? Where is this going next, to each of the committee chairs?

MS. NUELL: It's up to you.
DR. NEIMAND: I don't know. I thought that the next step for this was to go to the Board at our retreat or for discussion and ratification. I don't know.

MR. ALI: I thought we wanted to involve the chairs of the other committees before it gets presented.

DR. NEIMAND: So, what would that entail?
MR. ALI: Well, all the chairs are on the Executive Committee. There would have to be an Executive Committee just to look at this for a second time.

DR. NEIMAND: So, is that at a meeting? Do we send it out to all of them?

MR. ALI: No, the chairs are going to look at it and give their input. By the time it gets to the August retreat, I mean, you'll have a lot more people having their input.

MS. JACOBO: Do we have a date for the
August retreat already?
MS. NUELL: Yes.
MS. JACOBO: What is it?
MS. NUELL: It's August 25th, a Saturday. MR. ALI: It's a half an hour meeting. MS. JACOBO: It's what?

MR. ALI: A half an hour meeting.
MS. NUELL: Yes, we put it on the calendar every year and then we tweak it or not do it. Last year, we ended up doing it during the week for a half a day. Let's put it on the calendar and then we'll make a decision.

MS. KOBRINSKI: So, did this committee want to add clarification that this comes back to the Board for approval, or is this going to be something that's brought up for discussion at the next --

MS. JACOBO: I think, brought up for
discussion. I don't think we have agreement on whether we should or shouldn't, so maybe we need it, you know, for discussion.

MS. KOBRINSKI: We can put an alternative provision.

MR. ALI: Susan, we're good?
DR. NEIMAND: I'm good. Do we have any
other business?
(NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)
DR. NEIMAND: Okay. Then the meeting is adjourned. Thank you all for coming. Thank you all for participating. Thank you, Imran and Laurie, for your hard work.

MS. NUELL: I would say -- I would say that the committees meet and whatever, you know, their comments, then can they send them back to this committee, the committee members, to -- so they see what --

MR. ALI: Well, they'll have to send them back to Muriel and then she will --

MS. NUELL: Well, yes. But is that, you know, so then the committee members would be, you know, aware of whatever changes, you know, are we allowed to do that?

MS. KOBRINSKI: You can have the chairs -- I mean, yeah, you can do that. You can have the chairs come and take part in the by-laws committee. They can send their revisions and you can share them with all the
committee members, or you can invite that person to come
and explain, you know, their revisions.
MS. NUELL: If you wanted to just have a
second meeting for August or something. Thank you.
(Whereupon, at 3:58 p.m., the meeting was
adjourned.)
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|  | 19 42:2,12,19 | 17:14 23:13, | carefully | 33:5,6,7,15,16 |
| biggest | 43:6,10,14,17 | 14,16 38:22 | 3:25 | $34: 6$ |
| 36:16 | 44:15,22 45:5, | 39:5,24 40:15 | case |  |
| Bill$12: 7$ | 9 47:4,14 | 45:19 49:24 | 10:21 16:12 | changed |
|  | 48:19 |  | 10.2116 .12 | 6:8 10:7 15:20 |
| bit | Board's | C | category 23:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 15 \text { 33:21 } \\ & 34: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2:9 5:14 9:22 | 42:7 |  | CEO | changes |
| 11:9 17:7 | Boards | cabal | 8:23 9:3 12:13 | changes 3:4,9 4:23 9:21 |
| 25:24 | 28:8,12 | 37:4 | 35:5,12 36:1 | 49:20 |
| blah | both | calendar | 37:8,19 38:1, | changing |
| 42:5 | 17:17 33:4 | 26:18 48:13,16 | 10,13 39:4,5 | changing |
| blanking$42: 8$ | 34:1,10 44:11 | call | 40:2 42:24 | 7:6 |
|  | brand-new | $9: 10 \text { 14:9,12 }$ | 44:3,16 | chaos |
|  | $12: 4$ | 15:5,10 27:11 | certain | 47:1 |
| Board |  | $28: 12,13,24$ | 17:23,24 22:13 | chaotic |
| 2:19 3:19 6:13, | bring | 29:11 31:4 | $39: 11$ | $30: 11,16$ |
| 19 8:2,10,21, | 4:2 6:13 26:4 |  |  |  |
| 25 9:8,15,17 | 43:4,6,16 47:9 | calling |  |  |


| check | cleaned | coming | committees | conflict |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:16 | 9:14 33:10,15, | 49:8 | 9:14,19 14:20 | 34:20 35:3 |
| chief | 22 | comment | 18:10 20:4 | confusing |
| 35:5 44:25 | cleaning | 6:2 | 45:15 47:18 | $47: 8$ |
| childhood | 34:2 | comments | 49:12 | confusion |
| 21:15 | clear | 2:23 26:19,20 | communicate | 47:1 |
| children | 8:8 12:18 | 49:13 | 19:6 | consent |
| 40:6 | 15:11 16:20 | Commission's | communicatio | 17:7,9,12,13 |
|  | 25:4,6 26:20 | 34:24 |  | 16,22 18:1,19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Children's } \\ & \text { 10:10 18:8 } \\ & \text { 19:12 29:19 } \\ & \text { 31:18 32:17 } \\ & \text { 34:21 } 37: 19 \\ & \text { 40:2 } \end{aligned}$ | 23 34:19 45:13 |  | 2:10 | 19:16 20:24 |
|  | 46:16 | C | communicatio | 21:8,11 23:9, |
|  | cleared |  | ns | 11 43:20,21 |
|  | 35:14 | 17:22 19:19 | 22:23 | conservative |
|  | clearer | committee | community | 27:20 28:8 |
| choice | 12:24 | $5: 2,4,6,8,22$ | 38:9 | consider |
| 34:11 | Clerk | 6:4 7:9,17 8:5, | companies | 7:1 8:9 14:11 |
| clarification | 24:23 27:1,4 | 8,23 9:3,9,16, | 17:13 | 15:9 16:15 |
|  | coalition | 20,25 11:3,8,9, |  | 17:15 31:7 |
| 35:4,24 48:19 | 6:10 | 12,16,23,24,25 | 27:9 | consideration |
| clarifications | code | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 2,16,22 \\ & 13: 5,9,14,18 \end{aligned}$ | compensation | 15:24 |
| 24:15,19,21 | 32:1 34:22 | 14:12,13,22,24 | 41:24 | considered |
| clarified | combined | 15:3,5,10,12, | completely | 5:18 15:8 |
| 6:14 35:16 | 10:4 | 14 16:14,24 | 43:17 | constitutes |
| clarify | come | $19 \text { 25:19 31:3 }$ | comply | 15:10 |
| 6:9 8:20 11:9 | 4:6 10:13 12:2 | 35:10,15 36:8, | 11:7 28:9 | consultation |
| 12:816:17 | 20:9 23:8 | 10,25 38:2 |  | 8:23 |
| 25:10 44:19 | 25:18 35:15 | 39:9 40:14 | concer |  |
| 46:14 | 36:22 38:19,25 | 41:24 43:15 | 43:24 | consulting |
| clarity | 42:4 45:22 | 44:6 45:10,11, | concerned |  |
| 2:15 8:13 | 46:22 49:24 | 15 46:21,25 | 5:9 19:18 | continue |
| $46: 13,18$ | comes | 47:11,21,22 | 21:23 | 26:16 |
|  | 10:23 29:8 | 48:18 49:14, | concerns | contract |
| clause | 38:7 48:19 | 19,24 | 13:22 36:18 | 8:22 9:9 12:12, |
| 29:24 |  |  |  | $17 \text { 37:14 38:6 }$ |
| clean | comfortable | 42:24 | confirmation | 39:4,5,14 |
| 4:11 | 12:9 |  | 18:13 | 42:19,20 43:2 |


| 44:3 | 9:9 11:25 | 41:5,20 48:17 | 2:5 7:7 19:6 | due |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contracts | created | decisions | 25:25 27:18 | 36:25 |
| 22:25 | 11:10 12:7 | 35:2 | 35:22 | duplications |
|  | 25:19 | decorum | discussed | 4:19 |
| contradictions $2: 14$ | criteria | decorum 24:15 29:7 | 18:4 26:10 | duplicative |
| contribute | 11:25 | defeats | discussing | 4:20 |
| 30:3 | cumbersome | 28:5 | 27:23 39:14 | during |
| conversation | 36:21 | de | discussion | 48:15 |
| 2:12 13:20 | current | 16:3 | $4: 55: 4 \text { 13:2,4 }$ | duties |
| correct | 40:15 | described | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:7 17:17 } \\ & \text { 18:2,6,20 19:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 8:14 |
| correspondenc e | currently | 40:12 | 20:25 21:1,21 |  |
|  | 11:14 12:3 | desig | 23:4,5,11,17 | E |
|  | cycle | 27:3 | 25:16 27:17 |  |
| 18:17 20:6 | 35:19 |  | 28:16,19 29:11 | e-mail |
|  |  | designated | 30:4 42:16 | 4:16 26:15 |
| cour |  | 24:24 31:20 | 43:18 44:2 | e-mails |
| 27:11 30:3 | D | designee | 46:17,23 47:7, | 20:16 |
|  |  | 31:14,19 32:7, | 15 48:21,23,25 |  |
| $30: 1$ | 48:5 | 11,12 33:17, | discussions | $3: 131: 247: 11$ |
| County | David | 18,20,24 34:4 | 21:4 27:13 | early |
| 17:22 19:19 | $13: 11$ | designees | disorganized | $21: 14$ |
| 31:21,24,25 |  | 26:24 | 29:6,12,15 |  |
| 32:2,15 34:9 | day |  |  | easier |
| 40:4 | 37:11 41:15 | different $8 \cdot 3.9 \cdot 1910 \cdot$ | distractions | 24:12 |
|  | 48:16 | $21 \cdot 1022 \cdot 25$ | 29:18 |  |
| 9:21 18:4 | days | 23:24 24:2 | documents | 44:4 |
|  | 18:4 43:25 | $30: 17 \text { 40:15 }$ | 26:14 | edited |
| 22:15 | decide | 45:15 | done | 6:17 |
| course | 6:19 9:16 | diligence | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 1112: 1,4 \\ & 24: 236: 20 \end{aligned}$ | edits |
| course 26:5 42:14 | 15:18 19:5 | 36:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:2 36:20 } \\ & \text { 37:10 41:25 } \end{aligned}$ | 16:21 24:15 |
|  | 30:6,11 38:1 | disagrees | 37.1041 .25 |  |
| cover | 42:12 43:4 | 28:18 | down | efficient |
| 10:2 | decided |  | 10:17 20:15 | 24:12 |
| cram | 6:13 25:19 | discretionary | 21:24 42:18,19 | either |
| 22:24 | decision | 35:12 | draft | 3:10,11,14 |
| create | 16:18 33:24 | discuss | 4:15 | 5:16,20 7:16 |
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| 38:19 44:10 | entail | everybody | explain | feel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| elected | 47:19 | 40:10 45:24 | 17:20 21:25 | 13:6 28:23 |
| 34:19 | entire | everyone | 23:2 | 37:9 |
|  | 2:19 12:15 | 2:4,7 5:16 | extent | few |
| electing7:10 | 37:1 | 13:17 19:3 | 22:17 | 3:17 15:13 |
|  |  | 27:22 28:20 |  | $31: 1$ |
|  | entitled | 29:1 37:20 | eye | fill |
| election | 31:13 | 42:3 | 41:3,9,11 | fill |
| electronically | envision | everyone's |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:20 8:10 } \\ & 32: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 26:17 | 19:3 | 46:2 | - $F$ |  |
| elements | escape 29•24 | everything | fabulous | 5:5 8:9 14:5 |
| 47:8 | 29:24 | 20:11,19 37:16 | 37:1 | 33:24,25 |
| eliminate | especially | 43:15 | face | final |
|  | 31:3 | exactly | 37:8 40:24 | 11:11 |
| eliminated$31: 22$ | essentially | 6:18 14:1 | fact | Finance |
|  | 27:21 46 | example | 24:22 | 9:22,25 11:3, |
| embarrassing$40: 18 \text { 41:12,13 }$ | established | 24:9 27:2 | factor | 12,16,23,24,25 |
|  | 8:2 | excep | 28:22 35:5 | 22:8 35:15 |
|  | Esther | 3:17 9:21 30:3 |  | 43:14 44:5 |
| emergency | 27:2 | 38:2 43:16 | 16:5 | financial |
|  | ethics | exclusivel |  | 10:1 11:1,3,4 |
| employed $45: 4$ | $34: 22,24$ | 46:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { failed } \\ & \text { 15:23 16:12,13 } \end{aligned}$ | find |
|  | evaluation | Excuse |  | 14:14 36:20 |
| encompasses 17:23 | 12:11,16 | 16:22 | 18:1 | fine |
| end | even | Executive | families | 46:14 |
| 18:24 21:21 | 9:6 14:4,11 | 12:16 15:5,10 | 40:6 | firm |
| $26: 22 \text { 35:21 }$ | 15:1,22 16:13 | 39:9 40:14 |  | 9:23 10:13 |
| 37:11 40:2 | 20:25 23:12,13 | 41:23 44:6,25 |  | 11:11 |
|  | 24:9 26:15 | 45:3 47:21,22 | 19:17,18 22:22 | first |
| 41:15 | 39:4 41:25 |  |  | $2: 515: 1229$ |
| ended | 42:744:12 | 32:14 | 20:2,3 | 39:3 41:22 |
| 48:15 | event |  |  |  |
| ends | 16:10 | 2:12 3:2 | 19:11 | 8:25 |
| 34:2 | every |  |  |  |
| enormous | 7:6 46:3 48:14 | 6:3 29:9 | $44: 8$ | 17:19 18:7 |


| five | 21:10 22:4,5,9 | goes | 3:10 11:14 | high-profile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21:10 22:9,15, | 33:4 43:25 | 7:8 36:19 | 19:22 37:25 | 36:16 |
| 25 35:16,19 | front | good | 38:3 43:8 | highlight |
| 43:25 | 26:23 35:15 | 2:7 6:2 13:25 | happens | 4:11 |
| floor$4: 25 \text { 5:11,16 }$ | 41:12 | 17:18 27:2 | 4:5 38:11 |  |
|  | full | 40:16 49:3,4 | 42:21 | 6:7 8:7 |
| flow | 9:8,17 15:2,6, | gray | happy | highlights |
| 5:7 | 20,21,24 | 46:15 | 40:20 | $5: 19$ |
| follow | 36:10,20,23 | great | hard |  |
| 3:12,14 5:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 19,2541: 7 \\ & 43: 6,10,14 \end{aligned}$ | 24:5 37:10 | 49:10 | HINCAPIE $8: 1,15,19$ |
| 13 34:16 | $44: 21$ | group | hassle | 30:22 31:8,12, |
| following | funded | $21: 8,11,12,13$ | 15:9 | $23 \text { 32:5,14,19, }$ |
| 28:25 | 40:20 | 17,20 39:10 | hate | $22 \text { 33:1,3,6 }$ |
| follows | funds | grouping | 40:8,25 | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 6,1337: 12 \\ & 38: 13 \text { 39:19,22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 17:9,10 | 10:2,9,15,18, | 21:24 22:1 | having | 40:1 41:8,14 |
| force | 21,25 34:21 | guess | 2:16 9:15 | 43:9 45:24 |
| 14:14 | 35:12 | 2:22 3:1 23:25 | 15:14 17:8 |  |
| forefront35:2 |  | 34:12 42:8 | 21:21 24:9 | $42: 24$ |
|  | G |  | 25:17 48:4 |  |
| form |  | H | hear | hiring $37: 13 \text { 38:15, }$ |
| 25:22 | gave 33:2,19 44:6 | HAJ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 7 \text { 29:13,14 } \\ & 38: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 17,18,24 41:23 |
| format |  | 2:25 21:7,16 |  | 44:19,23 |
| 23:24 | get all 40:22 | 22:3,7 31:20 | helped <br> 11:25 | Historically |
| forms |  | 34:15 |  | 11:5 |
| 22:9 | getting 13:23 | half | here $2: 243: 174: 23$ | hold |
| formulated |  | 48:10,12,15 | 6:18 7:6 9:5 | 6:13 |
| 19:16 | give | hand | 11:13 12:3 | honest |
|  | $48 \cdot 2$ | 39:13 | 13:12 15:21 | 29:2 |
| forum $40: 9$ |  | happen | 18:8 27:10 | honestly |
| forward | given 26:25 | 11:21,23 36:13 | 29:23 31:20 32.937 .21 | 28:23 |
| forward |  | 40:10 | $44: 19 \text { 45:21 }$ | hop |
|  | gives $9 \cdot 2,17$ | happened | 46.4 $46: 4$ | 29:1 34:15 |
| forwarded 14:25 | 9:2,17 | 33:6,7 | high | hopefully |
| four | giving 29:24 | happening | 42:22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { hopefully } \\ \text { 12:23 } \end{gathered}$ |


| hoping | 12:11,13 24:16 | interested | 41:17 | job |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:11 | 34:23 35:17 | 14:3,11,13 | Isaac | 3:21 37:1 |
| hour | 45:9 46:4,8,9 | internally | 4:15 6:21 | 38:15 |
| 44:1 48:10,12 | included | 12:1 | 27:14 30:17 | join |
| however | 10:6 12:23 | interpretation | issue | 46:23 |
| 45:20 | 13:13 18:9 | $19: 14$ | 30:16,17 41:5 | jump |
|  | 26:2 35:7 |  | 45:21 | 11:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HR } \\ & 8: 23 \text { 12:10,1! } \end{aligned}$ | includes10:22 25:15 | interrupt | issue | Justice |
| 35:7,10 36:9 |  | into | 4:8 47:9 | 29:10 |
| 39:9 44:5 | increase | 10:13 13:24 | item | Juvenile |
| human | 38:1 | 14:4 17:1 | 18:6 27:24 | 29:10 |
| 35:22 40:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { increases } \\ & 8: 24 \text { 37:22 } \end{aligned}$ | 20:18 22:15,25 | items |  |
| hundred |  | 39:24 40:15 | 17:23,25 18:19 | K |
| 39:15 | information 2:15 18:15,17 <br> 20:17 41:14,16 | introduce | 19:16 21:10 |  |
| hurt |  | 22:20 | items-- | keeping |
|  | 20:17 41:14,16 | invest | 17:24 | 27:6 |
|  | informational18:22,24 20:5 | invest 10:21 |  | kept |
| I |  | invested | J | $44: 10$ |
|  | informed | 10:15 |  | Kids |
| idea | 11:22 | investing | JACOBO | 28:13 |
| 3:18 13:25 |  | 10:18 | 13:8,11 17:10 | Kim |
| 17:18 24:11 | initial |  | 19:21 20:2,21 | 32:8,13 |
| 40:16 46:7,9 |  | investment | 21:6,12,17,25 |  |
| important | input$4: 45: 748: 2,4$ | 10:9,13 | 22:5 23:1,12 | kind |
| $\text { 20:6 } 21: 4 \text { 40:3 }$ |  |  | 24:18 27:14 | 24:10 26:5,7 |
|  |  | investment | 28:11 29:25 |  |
| impropriety | In | 10:1 | 31:11 35:20 | 27:20 28:5 |
| 34:23 35:1 | 32:8,13,18 | invite |  | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 2 \text { 37:4,17 } \\ & 39: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 37:4 | 33:25 | 5:21 |  |  |
| Imran$\begin{aligned} & 3: 3,8,246: 25 \\ & \text { 25:16 49:9 } \end{aligned}$ | instead$9: 1526: 9$ | invited$45: 10 \text { 46:2,5 }$ | 38:8,16 40:22 | knowing$31: 2$ |
|  |  |  | 41:6,10,17 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { intent } \\ & 3: 18 \text { 18:21 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 42:1,14,17 |  |
|  |  | involve | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 12,21 \\ & 45: 14,19 \text { 48:5, } \\ & 8,11,22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { knows } \\ & 30: 1938: 2 \\ & 40: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| in-person |  | 47:17 |  |  |
| 45:23 | interest 10:17 34:20 | involved39:17 46:17 |  |  |
| include | 10:17 34:20 |  | Jim20:5 39:7 44:4 | KOBRINSKI$6: 25 \text { 7:8,14,23 }$ |
| 5:5 6:9,18 8:21 | 35:3 | involving |  |  |


| 8:4 14:18,21 | 36:19 43:7 | 38:8 40:1,22 | 22:22 | Manager |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:9 17:21 |  |  |  | 31:21,24,25 |
| 22:12 23:10,18 | left $13 \cdot 717$ | listening | M | 32:2,15 34:9 |
| 27:19 32:1,6, | 13:7,17 |  | M |  |
| 16,20,23 33:12 | legal | little | made | 29:17 45:20 |
| 34:3,8 38:21 | 4:4,5 22:24 | 2:9 4:10,14 | 7:4 16:18 17:3 |  |
| 44:24 45:3 | 33:20 | 5:14 9:22 11:9 | 33:15,23 | Maria |
| 46:22 47:10 |  | 17:7 25:24 |  | 15:8 17:8 |
| 48:18 49:1,22 | $30: 7$ | 35:23 | Maggie | master |
|  |  | local |  | 19:13 |
| L | Leigh $6: 17 \text { 17:17 }$ | 6:10 | mail | matter |
|  | 6:17 17:17 25:17 | long | 26:16 | 21:20 |
| language $4: 219: 1411: 2$ | less | 17:24 | major |  |
| 12:6,24 34:18 | 36:18 41:21 | long-serving | 9:21 | $18: 10$ |
| large | 45:6 | 36:15 | majority |  |
| 39:10 | letter | longer | 16:10 27:6 $35: 1045: 4$ | $5: 2 \text { 10:8,19 }$ |
|  | 10:5 | 18:11 | 35.1045 | 14:9,15 15:13 |
|  | like | looked | make | 22:8 25:18 |
| $31: 1 \text { 33:19 }$ |  | looked | 5:23 8:8,10 | 26:21 28:7 |
| 36:21 48:14 | 13:11 14:7 | 13.3 | 12:8,16 14:10 | 30:3 35:1 |
|  | $16: 5,7,17$ | looking | 15:11,21 | 46:15 |
| late | $16: 5,7,17$ 19:22 20:7 | 4:16 31:10,15 | 18:23,25 24:12 |  |
| 2:9 | $19: 22$ 20:7 21:9,18 22:2,4, | 35:18 44:11 | 25:1,2 26:19 | maybe |
| later | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 9,18 \text { 22:2,4, } \\ & 9 \text { 23:14,16 } \end{aligned}$ | 45:1 | 33:15 34:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 8,19,2013: 3 \\ & 14: 522: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 35:23 | 24:9,10 25:12, | loose | 35:2 42: | 23:1,4 28:4 |
| Laurie | 18 26:5 28:23 | 34:2 | 46:16 48:16 | 39:17 48:24 |
| 3:5 7:4 14:9 | 31:3 36:13,14 |  |  | Mayor |
| 45:8 49:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 7,938: 14 \\ & 39: 10 \text { 43:3,14, } \end{aligned}$ | 4:7, 19,21 5:4 | 6:4 9:11 12:24 | 31:14,18 32:2, |
| law | $15,2444: 11,14$ | 6:4 13:4 14:2,3 |  | 3,7,8,11 33:7, |
| 27:20 28:6 | $46: 20,24$ | 15:6,14 17:13 | makin | 23 40:4 |
|  |  | 20:22,23 21:1 | 3:21 12:1 |  |
| laws | limits | 31:4 34:23 | 18:12 25:4,5 | Mayor's |
| 34:17 | 13:23 | 39:17 40:19 | 26:23 41:4,20 | 32: |
| lead |  | 47:1 48:3 | man | 34:7 |
| 46:25 | 33:19 42:20 | Iow | 37:5 | mean |
| least |  | 10:18 | management | 14:3 15:1 16:4, |
| 27:17 28:16 | 28:14 30:5 | lumping | 10:2,9 13:4,15 | 19:2,11 21:17, |


| 25 22:12 23:13 | 12,13 29:5 | money | 18:8 19:10 | 20:3 21:3,14, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:6 28:18,20, | 30:15 41:19 | 10:22 40:24 | necessarily | 22 23:8,11 |
| 21,22 29:1,10 | 45:5,10,11,15 |  | necessarily | 24:5 29:4,17 |
| 30:6,12 36:12 | 46:20,25 | $6: 12$ | $11 \cdot 25 \cdot 2144 \cdot 12$ | 36:22 38:6 |
| 37:7,9 38:5,17, | 49:14,19 |  | 1125.2144 .12 | 41:4 43:20 |
| 18 40:1,9,22 | membership | mor | necessary | 45:6 46:7,13 |
| 41:7 42:16 | 7:13.15.16.18 | 0:23 24:12 | 20:20 | 47:7,13,19,24 |
| 43:12 44:5 | $20,248: 2$ | 27:23 44:6 | need | 49:4,7 |
| 45:12,17 |  | 45:6 46:18 | 14:18 16:10 | Nelson |
| 46:13,19 48:3 | mentioned | 48:3 | 19:2 20:8,19 | 27:2 41:22 |
| means <br> 16:18 23:11 <br> meet <br> 36:23 49:12 <br> meeting <br> 2:2,5,8,11 8:11 <br> 9:10 15:23 <br> 18:5 26:2,13, <br> 14,21 29:6,19 <br> 32:17 42:3 <br> $45: 22,2546: 3$, <br> $5,2147: 4,24$ <br> $48: 10,1249: 7$ <br> meetings <br> 10:14 15:14 <br> $20: 14,1829: 23$ <br> $31: 432: 3$ <br> $45: 10$ <br> member <br> $21: 1928: 2$ <br> $32: 9,13 ~ 34: 25$ <br> $46: 23 ~ 47: 4$ <br> members <br> $7: 259: 19$ <br> $15: 19 ~ 18: 25$ <br> $19: 421: 23$ <br> $27: 7,2328: 9$ | 4:18 35:23 | mos | 22:13 23:12,14 |  |
|  | met | 26:15 40:3 | 28:9 30:6 | 11:5 23:25 |
|  | 25:12 | move | 38:14 48:24 | 31:17 39:3 |
|  | migh | 9:24 13:24 | needed | 41:25 44:9 |
|  | 6:25 7:1 8:4,5 | 16:25 40:6 | 2:15 11:23 | new |
|  | 24:8 30:8 31:5 | moved | 27:7 | $5: 196: 78$ |
|  | 32:24 34:25 | 25:3 26:15 | needs | 11:6,18 12:1,4 |
|  |  |  | 23:15 36:22 | 13:13 16:12 |
|  | mind | $12: 2114$ | 41:744:20 | 27:6 |
|  | 29:8 | 23:4 40:6 | 45:25 | next |
|  | minutes | much | negative | 2:22 9:13,20 |
|  | 7:6 20:2,3 | 9:11 15:7 18:2 | 16:14,19 | 12:22 13:21 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 2,10,13,22 \\ & 26: 328: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 19:11 36:24 | negotiate | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 18 ~ 24: 14 \\ & 27: 5 \text { 35:4 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Muriel | 8:22 35:6,2 | 27:5 45.15 47:11,14 |
|  | mis | 4:15 16:23 | negotiated | 48:21 |
|  |  | 17:17 19:6 | 39:8 43:11,13 |  |
|  | mistakes | 49:17 | 44:7 | $5: 16$ |
|  | 25:3 | music | negotiating |  |
|  | mistrust | 29:14 | 12:12 37:14,24 | nominating |
|  | 30:14 37:16 |  | 39:13 42:19 | 5:1,3,6,8,22,24 |
|  | modification | must 26:25 27:3 | 44:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:4 7:9,17,21 } \\ & 8: 5,8 \text { 12:22 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2:12 | mute | negotiations | 13:9,14 16:24 |
|  | modifications | 29:12,13,14 | 12:17 | nomination |
|  | 2:19 3:3 |  | NEIMAN | 5:17 |
|  | modified | N | 2:4,7 3:1 6:1 |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 129: 5,11 \\ & 13: 20 \text { 19:24 } \end{aligned}$ | 4:25 5:15 8:9 |
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| non-designee $33: 25$ | 0 | 38:2 40:3 44:1 | organized | particular |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33:25 | 0 | 45:8,17 | 23:23 24:2 | 21:19 |
| non-voting |  | ones | 29:5 | pass |
| 31:16 | 39:12 | 18:1 | originally | 16:11 |
| normally |  | only | 16:24 | passed |
| 10:24 11:3 |  | 3:7 7:23 15:15 | outside | 34:14 |
| noth |  | 16:6 18:15 | 28:3 |  |
| $4: 12$ | off | 20:5,20 31:19 |  | $6: 10 \text { 33:10 }$ |
|  | 2:6 29:12,14 | 36:13 41:19 | 4:11 $21 \cdot 131 \cdot 1$ |  |
| notice | 36:2 | 45:11,15 46:20 |  | people |
| 28:14 | office |  | 2.20 | 13:22 14:2,6 |
| Noticeably28:13 | 7:25 19:17 | ope | overview | 15:14,15 20:11 |
|  | 27:20 28:8 | 43:17 | 42:22 | 22:13,20 27:16 |
|  | 29:18 | opinion |  | 29:19,23 31:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { noticed } \\ & 27: 24 \text { 46:3 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 40:2 | P | 39:10,17 |
|  | Officer |  |  | 40:15,19 41:18 |
|  | 44:25 45:4 | opposed 20:13 | p.m. | 43:7 44:13 |
| notices$26: 13,14$ | officers |  | 2:3 | 48:3 |
|  | 4:22 5:18,25 | opposite |  | performance |
| NUELL | 6:7 7:2 8:6,14, | 19:25 | pages $9 \cdot 2124 \cdot 14$ | 12:11 37:22 |
|  | 18,19 13:14 | opt |  |  |
| 14:20 15:25 | 28:21 | 31:5 | pared | permission 9:9 12:15 39:2, |
| 16:4,8,16,22 | official | order | 20:15 | $6$ |
| 17:4 23:23 | 31:13 | 2:22 5:13 | part |  |
| 24:7,17 25:7,9 |  | 16:10 18:3 | 3:23 8:1 12:12 | permit |
| 30:20,24 33:2, | On |  | 18:22,23 25:16 | 26:25 32:21 |
| 5,9,14 34:10, |  | ordinanc | 27:19 29:11 | 42:5 |
| 16 36:8 38:23 | once | 6:25 32:6 | 31:1,7,18 | person |
| 39:1,21,23,25 | 4:10 8:2 11:10 | 33:10 | 35:22 38:9 | 13:23 32:7 |
| 41:21 43:16,23 | 43:10 | ordinances | 49:24 |  |
| 45:1,20 46:1, | one | 34:17 | participate | $24: 16 \text { 28:24 }$ |
| 12,19 47:2,12 | 3:11 6:11 10:4 | organization | 27:12,16 45:22 | 29:3 |
| 48:7,9,13 | 15:16,19 16:11 | $3: 15 \text { 6:11,15 }$ | 46:2 47:5 |  |
| 49:11,18 | 17:9,10 22:1, | $8: 136: 17$ |  | physically |
| number | 16,25 23:6 |  | participating | 28:10 29:23 |
| 41:18,19 | 25:9 27:23 | organizations |  | piec |
|  | 30:12,24 31:17 | 6:20 | participation | 6:7,17 12:23 |
|  | 32:3,10 34:14 | organize | 47:5 | 20:20 27:10 |
|  | 35:4 36:23,24 | 23:24 |  |  |




| salary | school | sent | shouldn't | something |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:22,24 12:13 | 40:4 | 4:15 27:3 | 48:24 | 4:4 14:15 15:4, |
| 35:25 37:14,24 | search |  | showed | 22 19:5,22 |
| 38:1 | search | separate <br> 7.222 .11 | showed | 21:2 22:13 |
| SALVER$4: 17 \text { 6:23 }$ | 9:3,9 | 7:2 22:11 | 32:3 47 | 23:7,15,21 |
|  | seats | separately | shown | 24:8 25:20,24 |
|  | 6:21 | 23:3 | 2:13 | 30:8,23 35:21 |
| same | second | served | shutting | 41:2 44:20 |
| 21:1 22:10 | 30:13 31:15 | 11:17 33:4 | $21: 23$ | 48:20 |
| 29:21 45:21 | 47:22 | $34: 1$ |  | sometime |
| sat | secretary | services | 36:2 | 6:19 |
| 10:12 | 14:5 | 10:23 11:8 |  | sometimes |
| Saturday 48:9 | section | serving | simply $39: 22,23$ | 20:22 25:2 |
|  | 4:24 5:6,24 6:7 | 27:8 45:5 | since | 29:13,14 |
| say | 7:2,9 8:7 9:13 |  | 3:16 27:15 | somewhere |
| 3:6,7 6:25 | 10:5 11:3,6,7 | 4:12 | 3.1627 .15 | 33:18 38:21 |
| 19:24 22:18 | 12:24 13:9,12 |  | sit | 44:21 |
| 27:17 29:25 | 18:22 35:5,9 | seven | 29:18 |  |
| 30:1,18,21,24 | sections | 33:7 35:17 | sitting | $8 \cdot 12$ |
| 32:7,10,11 | 4:21 9:20 10:4 | several | 42:18,19 | 8.12 |
| 39:1 42:3,23 |  | 28:11 |  | sorry |
| 44:24 46:1549:11 | seem |  | situation | 6:1 13:8 14:18 |
|  | 18:7 19:9 | Shanika | 9:8 27:2 34:25 | 17:11 30:17 |
| saying | seen | 6:17 25:17 | small | 31:9,12 |
| 15:22 16:9,13 | 36:18 | share | 38:2 41:19 | sort |
| 17:18 18:24 |  | 3:23 49:25 | smooth | 18:20 19:13,15 |
| 23:20 24:18 | 6:14 11:25 | shar | 9:12 | 23:22 43:23 |
| 30:10 38:4 | 6 | 20:1 |  | 45:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 41: 143: 13,14 \\ & 44: 2 \end{aligned}$ | selection |  | solic |  |
|  | 9:23 11:9,11 | shares | 11:18 | speak |
| says | send | 20:6 | somebody | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 22 \text { 10:11 } \\ & \text { 12:25 13:12 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4:24 5:15 | 5:17 20:10 | should | 28:25 41:15 | 20:20 27:15 |
| 15:25 25:10 | 47:25 49:13, | 5:7 7:1,12 13:1 | som | 29:24 |
|  | 16,25 | 21:4 30:8 31:7 | $5: 17 \text { 14:14 }$ |  |
|  |  | 35:1 37:2 | 29:12 33:19 | speaks 9.2511 .7 |
| 38:12 | sending | 38:21,23 39:2 | $42 \cdot 18$ | 9:25 11:7 |
| scenes | 19:3 | 43:13,14 44:19 | 4 | special |
| 37:5,10 | sense | 46:4,10,16 | someone's | 8:11 |
|  | 6:5 | 48:24 | 39:14 |  |
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| specific | 42:11 | 2:20 | 8:16 16:6 | therefore |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:4 21:19 | stor |  | 25:12 29:6 | 2:15 |
| specifically | stone $4: 13$ | Sunshine $27: 19,21,24$ | 37:21,23 38:17 | thing |
| 32:21 |  | 28:6,9,12,25 | taxpayer | 3:7 7:23 20:5 |
|  | stopped | 30:9 | 40:24 | 22:10 24:10 |
| spoke 13:14 14:2 | 10:18 | superintendent | tearing | 25:9 29:8 44:3 |
| 17:8 | streamlined | $33: 16,2034: 3$ | 43:18 | things |
|  |  | 40:5 | technically | 3:9,11,13,17 |
| 32:11 | struck |  | $33: 1$ | 18:8 19:25 |
| staff <br> 11:10 18:12 <br> 24:3,24 34:25 <br> 35:18 | 4:23 12:23 |  |  | 20:9,22,23,25 |
|  | stuff |  | technology | 29:2 30:25 |
|  | $4: 11$ | supposed | $21: 9,16$ | thinking |
|  | sub-committee | $\text { 8:17 } 11: 20$ | telephone | $24: 7$ |
|  | sub-committee 11:15,16,17 | Susan | 28:3 | thought |
| standing | submit | 4:18 49:3 | temporary | 7:6 24:1,3 |
|  | 43:10 | system | 46:8 | 35:18 46:3 |
| started |  | 6:10 | tend | 47:13,17 |
| 3:18 4:10 | subsection |  | 32:25 | thoughts |
| state$32: 2434$ |  | T |  | 12:19 13:19 |
|  | succession |  | 13:23 | 45:7 |
| stated | 13:4,15 | take 2:16 4:12 9:17 |  | three |
| 35:10 | such | $14: 7 \text { 20:1,22 }$ | terms | 21:9 22:18 |
|  | 13:20 18:10 | $21: 9 \text { 49:24 }$ | 5:25 6:6 7:25 | 23:4,5,20 29:5 |
| statements | 27:1 | 21:9 49:24 | 40:5 44:16 | 43:25 |
|  | sufficiency | taken | than | through |
|  | 22:24 | 28:1,8 35:1 | 40:4 41:21 | 3:4,20,24 6:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 8 \text { 12:7 26:25 } \\ & 32: 24 \text { 34:3,8,9 } \end{aligned}$ | suggested |  | 44:6 | 11:19 |
| stays | 3:9 16:23 | 19:23 35:12 | their | throughout |
| 34:15 | suggestion |  | 4:3 6:14 13:23 | 3:19 |
| step | 7:5 45:8,9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { talk } \\ & 14 \cdot 1017 \end{aligned}$ | 17:20 21:23 |  |
|  | summarize | 24:8 29:2 | 28:14 36:25 | 16:7,8,10 |
| 47:14 | 26:11 | 30:17 | 37:5 42:8,9 |  |
| still | 26.1 |  | 48:2,4 49:12, | time $9: 710: 1$ |
| 15:23 16:13 | summary | talk | 25 | 19:23 20:1.12. |
| 21:18 41:7 | 25:10,14 | 3:25 9:22 | themselv | 19:23 20:1,12, $13,22 \text { 24:25 }$ |
| stipulated | summer | talking | 5:17 15:15 | 28:14 29:21 |



| 16:2 19:5 | whatever | wording |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25:25 29:22 | 40:20 42:24,25 | 4:20 |
| 30:3 37:18,20 | 43:2 49:12,20 | words |
| 43:7 44:9,18 | whenever | 23:3 |
| 46:6 47:5,9 48:18 | 27:22 | work |
| 48.18 | whereas | 2:17 3:20 |
| wanted | 33:25 | 12:15 19:9,17, |
| 3:6 4:2 8:7 | whether | 18 36:20 37:10 |
| 11:13 12:8 | whether | 46:14 47:3 |
| 13:7,17 15:1 | 17:14 19:5 | 49:10 |
| 16:16 17:7,14 | 20:19 35:17 |  |
| 23:14,16 25:9, | 48:23 | working |
| 19 26:4 27:8 | while | 29:21 30:25 |
| 35:24 36:23,24 | 4:10 | worried |
| 45:12 47:17 |  | 3:11 29:4 |
| wants | 11:19 42:19 |  |
| 19:15 41:15 |  | $27: 4$ |
| way | 28:19 | written |
| 3:10,15 7:22 |  | 12:7 |
| 11:22 12:4,5 | will |  |
| 21:7,10,24 | 2:16,18,19 | wrong |
| 22:20 23:23 | 4:24 5:15,16 | 37:15 |
| 24:1,2,4 34:12, | 6:14,19,20 |  |
| 15 39:20 42:4 | 11:10,11 12:12 | Y |
| 46:10 47:2 | 14:24 15:11 | - |
| website | 16:1 18:19 | year |
| 24:22 31:15 | 21:23 24:12,23 | 9:1 10:7 11:18 |
|  | 26:20 29:12 | 12:14 33:10 |
| week | 30:21,24 49:17 | 39:2 44:1 |
| 48:15 | without | 48:14,15 |
| welcome | 18:25 36:2 | yearly |
| 2:4,8 42:4 | wonder | 37:22 |
| 46:23 | 28:15 | years |
| went | wondering | 17:8 31:1 33:4, |
| 3:24 6:3 9:8 | 21:22 | 8,19 35:16,17, |
| 10:17,18 11:19 |  | $19 \text { 43:25 44:1 }$ |
| 15:21 39:7 | word |  |
| 40:13,14 | 42:8 |  |

