## THE CHILDREN'S TRUST

NOMINATING COMMITTEE MEETING

The Children's Trust Nominating Committee Meeting was held on Tuesday, July 24, 2018, commencing at 2:32 p.m., at The Children's Trust, 3150 S.W. 3rd Avenue, Conference Room A, Miami, Florida 33129. The meeting was called to order by Rodester Brandon, Committee Chair.
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Lileana de Moya, Gubernatorial Appointee Dr. Susan Neimand, Miami-Dade College

STAFF:
James Haj, President/Chief Executive Officer
Imran Ali
Muriel Jeanty, Clerk of the Board
Vivianne Bohorques
Juana Leon

GUESTS:
Ken Hoffman

## PROCEEDINGS

(Recording of the meeting began at $2: 32$
p.m.)

MR. BRANDON: So I just want to welcome everybody to our Nominating Committee meeting to consider a new chairman for the Board. I want to take a minute to introduce Jim to -- do you have things you want to say as we get started?

MR. HAJ: I just would like to, you know, as this committee instructed me, we sent out the letter of interest to all the Board members, anybody who would be interested to please submit their name.

One individual submitted that he would be interested and that is Ken Hoffman. And then we had six other Board members recommend Ken Hoffman. And Mr. Hoffman will be here shortly. The Nominating Committee wanted to bring him in to talk to him.

MR. BRANDON: Well, I thought it was a good idea to have him come in. Otherwise, it will look like we're just rubber-stamping somebody else's choice.

He answered the questions that we sent out, \#1 and \#2. We had two questions, so you can see his answers right there. I'd imagine he's going to come in and want to -- we should ask him some questions or perhaps let him just kind of speak.

What do you think? If we're going to ask him some questions, we need to come up with others besides the \#1 and \#2. He's an attorney so he's probably good at speaking.

DR. BAGNER: I'm trying to remember what we did last time for the treasurer. Did we actually ask questions? We had two candidates, so it was a little bit different scenario.

MR. BRANDON: And then $I$ don't know if they wrote out their -- like he did, wrote out the answers like he did.

DR. NEIMAND: Well, Ken is not unknown to us.

MR. BRANDON: Right, that's a good thing. DR. NEIMAND: I mean, he's been running the Finance Committee. He's very vocal.

MR. BRANDON: And really excellent at it.
DR. NEIMAND: Excellent, yes, absolutely.
MR. HAJ: We also have -- I'm not sure if you have it, his attendance. Somebody wanted to see that. It should be in there. And just another consideration for everyone -- another consideration is terms. That was something we discussed in the by-laws about the terms.

So you need to be aware that $K$ nen is -- this
is -- he's going to his -- he's in -- his last term just started, so he has about a year and 10 months to go. So when he takes the seat, it will be a year and eight months.

DR. BAGNER: So we'll have to do this all over again.

MR. HAJ: You would have to do it all over again. As far as the by-laws, I think some of the recommendations was, you don't have to wait until April. You can do it, you know, a year in advance and start the succession management planning at a time when hopefully you will have -- the BCC is considering the candidates for the gubernatorial today.

They'll actually hear it this afternoon, so that will be up to the governor soon. So hopefully, we'll have six new Board members joining the Board. That will increase the pool of potential candidates in a year and eight months if Ken is selected.

DR. NEIMAND: Well, there were no other nominees and there were no others that were interested.

MS. NUELL: And, I mean, I'll say that as the Board chair, you know, like, for the last two years, I've been thinking about the next Board chair, although we didn't think it was going to be so quick.

But, I mean, I think that Ken would be --
his leadership style, I think, having no connection to any funders is a good thing also. And I think he's just very easy, you know, he's been really easy to work with and his -- I just think he'd be a really good candidate.

And I've been, you know, paying attention for a while, and I was one of the people who nominated him. So, he definitely has my full support. And Jim and I did talk about it because now that -- this has never come up before, you know, with the problem with the terms.

And I hadn't had a chance to talk about it. And I don't know if this is the right -- this probably isn't the right time or place. But I think it's a flaw and it's not addressed anywhere because it had never come up before.

So if you're in a six-year term, you really are kind of at a disadvantage in terms of being an officer. And it's not addressed in the statute. Terms are addressed but not the term of an officer, so you get cut short.

But I still think that he will provide the stability that we need, so even though at this moment in time, unless it changes in the next 18 months, you know, we'll have to reconsider again.

DR. NEIMAND: Was he aware of the fact that
it would only be a very short term?
MR. HAJ: Correct.
DR. BAGNER: I don't mean to detract too much from the discussion, but is that something that we can revisit? So, for example, because you think about someone joining the Board, getting used to being on the Board, it takes a couple of years.

MR. BRANDON: It takes a while.
DR. BAGNER: And then -- so now you've got three or four years left, and then if you want to consider being in a leadership position, half the term is gone.

So, is that something we can reconsider in terms of our by-laws, that maybe folks' terms on the Board can be extended if they choose to be in a leadership position?

MR. HAJ: Yeah, this is a statute issue. We'll have to address it through -- during session and try to -- and have committee discussion. There's always -- you can only do it -- there's always -- no one really likes to open up the statute because every time you open up the statute, people are going to start poking at the statute.

So, no matter -- any time we open up the CSC statute, all the other CSC's start flipping out because,
you know, they just don't like it. In session, people start looking at different things.

But we have done it. We have done it. We did it two years ago. It's not something that can't be done but it needs to be the Board's marching orders.

MR. BRANDON: Is that statute -- are you
talking about the Florida statute?
MR. HAJ: The Florida statute.
MR. BRANDON: And who is that done through?
MR. HAJ: Our legislative process.
MR. BRANDON: All the way -- so we have to go there for this to be considered, for something we want to change?

MR. HAJ: Yeah. Well, we're in a predicament with Laurie's chairmanship. It says, the terms shall be three two-year terms. That one sentence, and that supersedes the ordinance in our by-laws.

DR. BAGNER: You mean leadership terms?
MR. HAJ: No.
MS. NUELL: Well, both. And they both -and then -- so, what happens is, which you guys probably talked about a little bit, I guess. I don't know. But also, the terms are October and then the officers are in April. So it just was an oversight.

I think that -- I have really looked at the
by-laws and the ordinance and the statute over the last three and-a-half years. I mean, I pretty much have it memorized.

And it just was, like, we just didn't think about it. So it was always, like, oh, it's going to be April. And then I actually, according to the by-laws and the statute, an officer can have three two-year terms also.

But the first chair was Dave Lawrence and the second chair was Maria Alonso, who was a gubernatorial appointment, so she had six years on the Board and then six years as chair.

So, this was the first time that this -that this came up. So all of a sudden, it just became, you know, all of a sudden, it was just, oh, whoa, you know.

And then we have from October to April. So, you know, for the Board and for the organization, you know, $I$ don't want that kind of instability. It won't make a difference for me but going forward, I think we've worked really hard at, you know, the stability and --

MR. BRANDON: And then Ken, you know, in all fairness to him, he'll get in and really kind of learn the lay of the land and then his time is up.

MS. NUELL: Yes.
MR. BRANDON: Now, would he be able to be considered for the next time around?
(THEREUPON, Lily De Moya entered the meeting room.)

MS. DE MOYA: I know I'm late, but can you just fill me in real quick what you're talking about?

MS. NUELL: In general.
MR. BRANDON: Well, we were talking in general about the term limits and how they adversely affect how we --

DR. BAGNER: Ken is the only person who is interested --

MS. DE MOYA: And term limits --
DR. BAGNER: -- and his term is up in a year and 10 months.

MR. HAJ: Well, Ken's interested and six other people put Ken's name in to support him.

MS. NUELL: And I think it's worth it to have him for that amount of time.

MR. BRANDON: So, at this point, we're just trying to -- he's going to come in to talk and we have questions. He's already answered questions. We're just trying to figure out how to handle him when he comes in.

MS. DE MOYA: So I have a question. Since I
know that, like, six people, you know, put his name in, when we met last time, we kind of talked about if we didn't get a lot of candidates or if we didn't get any candidates, having kind of a Plan $B$.

Since he's the only candidate, did you approach -- did we go there at all, like, what we talked about the possibility of you approaching, like, committee chairs to see if they were interested or anything like that?

MR. HAJ: No, I didn't, because he put in but then six other people nominated him, so there seemed to be great support around Ken. And other people didn't officially say it but they had a lot of confidence in Ken. I got six official e-mails.

MS. DE MOYA: Well, I didn't write an e-mail, but are you counting me in the six?

MR. HAJ: I created a cheat-sheet.
MS. DE MOYA: I just didn't know his term limit was up.

MR. HAJ: Well, we had talked about, too, that the Commission is now, today, voting on the 18 to send up to the governor. So hopefully, we will have six new people down the road to increase the pool, because we've had so many vacancies, all the governor seats that were open. If we change the by-laws, nothing precludes
us from -- we don't have to wait until the last minute.
MR. BRANDON: So the point remains, we have to figure out how we're going to question him at this point. I like the idea of having him talk, you know, because everybody has confidence in him. We know what he's going to -- how he operates.

Perhaps to just have him -- just leave him open to just kind of chat a little bit about his experiences and how they have been here. It just kind of underscores some of the things he's actually written.

DR. NEIMAND: Well, I think he wrote a paragraph. But because of that, it's very succinct and he put it on paper. But $I$ think if he could elaborate and really, you know, talk to the issues of, you know, how does he see the implementation of another five-year cycle, you know, how we prioritized our work, and the fact that the community has been so positive in terms of how they view the change of helping small community-based organizations and being more diverse in what we've been funding. I think I would like to hear him talk about that.

DR. BAGNER: I mean, we could bring up those issues, I mean, get his thoughts on that.

MS. DE MOYA: That's exactly what $I$ was
thinking. I would like to ask him, like, what his goals
would be.
MR. BRANDON: Okay. So, I'll just open it up, just give him an open-ended question, just kind of an open-ended response and have him start talking.

MS. DE MOYA: And you didn't invite anyone else, right?

MR. HAJ: No.
(THEREUPON, Ken Hoffman entered the meeting room at 2:45 p.m.)

MR. BRANDON: Welcome. So, thank you for being here. And we were all excited to see that you're interested in being our chair. We hear that there's -you volunteered and there are several people that asked -- that nominated you to step up and be our new chairman.

We're all in receipt of your written responses. We'd like for you to talk to us and perhaps elaborate a little bit on the things that you wrote.

MR. HOFFMAN: Sure.
MR. BRANDON: And we just want to hear from you. We all have good experiences working with you and we just want to hear from you today before we go ahead and nominate you to the Board.

MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. Well, first of all, I mean, it goes without saying, because we all are in this
endeavor together, that we do great things here.
And many of you -- most of you do parts of this or touch this in your daily lives. I don't. My daily life has been as an attorney in a law firm. I do commercial work.

I've done a lot of volunteerism. But it's been only partly touching the children's services, the family services area.

I do come here. I think -- I was just looking last night, actually. I asked Donovan who the 30-something people were that applied for the governor's position -- governor-appointed position.

So, I looked at the names, just a couple of the resumes, and I kind of feel like I cheated getting here because I came here through an organizational appointment from MCCJ, where we do have programs specifically dedicated to helping the community, helping children with anti-bigotry and anti-bias type of focus and, you know, getting people to communicate and sponsoring high school programs.

But, again, it's not my sort of daily life dealing with a social services system or care. I am a father of four boys, so $I$ kind of feel like I've had a lot of personal experiences with my kids but with their -- you know, with friends and dealing with issues
and seeing how the school system works. But again, I'm not an expert in the school system.

Having come here, though, and I did raise my hand when this position became available at MCCJ, you know, I know we all bring different sort of skill sets and perspectives, just like Dr. Bagner brings sort of an academic perspective and that's partly because of his affiliation, and other people bring, you know, different perspectives.

I bring one, too. It's more of an organizational type of perspective. I've dealt my entire life with big corporations and small corporations.

So, you know, I'm much more adept than not everybody but some in dealing with the sort of people elements of getting -- keeping things moving forward. And, you know, again, we've gone through a lot of different issues here.

I kind of feel like, A) that's always been one of my strengths, but also it's played out well here because again, the way the committees operate, the way -- $I$ can't say it's not frustrating, but the way the whole process has to operate to actually get something done, it's very process-bound, so a lot of my mental energy in dealing with the committees and the like has
been trying to figure out how to cut through the processes or make it -- actually, make it easier for myself to digest and to make decisions but also at the same time, everybody else.

And that goes from, you know, what types of presentations we get to what type of questions we're asked. People who sit on the Finance \& Operations Committee know that half the time, the question $I$ ask is, why are we seeing this, why isn't this done some other way.

So, I think that, you know, from that perspective, $I$ feel like I've been able to contribute. And I wouldn't say there weren't a few people who said, I ought to do this. I don't know if it's the same people who nominated me.

But in thinking about whether $I$ wanted to do it, you know, I'm very comforted by the fact that in the last year or two years, under Laurie's leadership, we've really done -- I think I've been on the Board now almost four years.

We've really done a much better job of understanding what everybody wants the vision of the Trust to be. I think the last strategic planning was good evidence of it.

We're much more focused on, first of all,
having a team of people, including directors, who understood what we were doing, which when I first came, there was so much turnover. It was amazing. I mean, just to think that $I$ can't get up to speed on everything that we do, but just seeing people coming in, you know, one meeting and then being gone four or five meetings later and somebody else in their place in a similar position, to think that we'd get anything done.

So, again, I'm comforted with where we are in terms of not just the vision but the execution is really starting to roll out. And maybe there's less of a need to focus on that.

But I still think there's a ways to go and a ways to go in helping the staff get through and streamline the processes. I mean, one of the things, again, people who sit on my committee know that $I$ didn't tolerate very well the way that we would get resolutions that $I$ didn't know until right before the meetings what was coming up.

So we sort of changed that process for my committee. And staff doesn't know this yet, but they're going to change that process for every committee. Because again, if we're not participating in the process, then we all -- it's sort of a staff versus us.

And to see that at Board meetings, when
people haven't sometimes taken the time to really brief themselves but also are asking questions that are adversarial with the staff, because this was given to me and I have a choice of approving it or not. It's a very public setting, even the Finance Committee \& Operations Committee meetings, and it's hard to make choices.

And I don't suggest a return to model where we were making all the choices. I think we've got a great staff. But those are the things, again, where I think I can help contribute to continue to sort of move it forward.

And I think, again, that we're on a much more solid footing. What I'm struggling for is getting other directors to participate in things, like those committees and other activities.

And again, $I$ think that Jim and Laurie have done a good job of bringing directors into the fold and some of the sub-committees that you sat on that sort of focus on the innovation programs and other things.

So, again, $I$ see my role a little different than somebody who's going to be able to tell you where the money should go than how we can get to the right process.

I also think, again, my biggest focus this year was one or two things that Bill on the finance side
still has to work on, that's been figuring out how we get the most money out there, particularly as we start this five-year cycle.

And part of that was solving the problem with the fund balance and not just, you know, me looking at numbers with Bill and solving it but figuring out how to get everybody to buy into a process. And the other part, which I think there's still more work to be done, is figure out how to get actually the Children's Trust to spend the money.

So, again, $I$ think that we really do great work here, you know, maybe later in life getting really actively involved. I've been in other organizations. But here I am. So, you know, I can do it. I would, you know, spend the time and the effort and I think it's worth it to do it.

MR. BRANDON: Any questions from anyone?
MS. DE MOYA: Do you want to go ahead?
DR. BAGNER: Sure. Yeah, I mean, we're extremely excited that you volunteered to do this and I think we're all really supportive. One of the things that we talked about, $I$ think this brings it into perspective, of a bigger Board issue, is the timeline of terms.

And one of the challenges with your term is
that we were discussing that your term ends in a year and 10 months, so that would really only allow you to be Board chair for that period of time, such a short period of time, which takes time to transition.

So, we were just wondering your thoughts on that, just more generally speaking about the organization.

MR. HOFFMAN: Such is life, right? I mean, again, $I$ certainly look to be able to participate and do what I can while I can serve here. I mean, I don't have a guarantee that MCCJ wants to keep -- I have to stop telling them that we have the position. I don't have a guarantee from anybody that I'll be re-nominated, although I will, I think, certainly with the positions I've held, not because I'm representing the organization on the Board but because $I$ think it's been the people that are in charge and the Board's been happy that we've had somebody who's been able to have a say-so in the community. We're not funded by a Children's Trust program any more.

But this is where we are. This is the way I would put it. It's not ideal. On the other hand, if I don't work out, then it's probably too long. But, you know, again, it is what it is.

I guess I never really focused as much on
term limits until we started doing the by-laws, and it's certainly not something we're going to change or need to change. That doesn't mean I would have had any interest earlier on or tried to do this earlier on.

But I think we're also in a situation where maybe, you know, disappointed is not the word, maybe, you know, somebody else could have stepped up, too, and said, I'll do it.

But again, we're in sort of a -- not a crisis but we need to do something, so I'm happy to do it now. And if it only lasts a short period of time, then life is short.

MS. DE MOYA: I have two questions. Do you have goals for the next year and 10 months if you were to be elected? And two, when you said about --

MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, by the way.
MS. DE MOYA: MCCJ, you said something about being re-appointed. Is that something that could happen before the year and 10 months, that they would re-appoint you?

MR. HOFFMAN: I mean, I didn't say it because I think it's -- every organization, even that we saw -- I was just looking at the list last night of people who wanted the governor, and Judge Fein is one of them. And, you know, he was appointed, the right person
at the right time but then got, I would say, disappointed and taken off the Board by circumstances. So, anything is possible.

MS. DE MOYA: Well, I guess, more directly, my question is, is that an appointment that has a term limit?

MR. HOFFMAN: It never has within our organization.

MS. NUELL: But I think it does now with the change. Before it was an MCCJ -- it was --

MR. HOFFMAN: Well, let me answer your question. In our organization, no. The last person who served, Don Bierman, served, I think, almost the maximum time he could serve under the Children's Trust by-laws.

But again, nobody's focused on who we have a seat -- it's a volunteer position as well. We need to make sure we're filling the seat.

MS. DE MOYA: But doesn't -- I'm confused, because -- so we have gubernatorial appointments and we have at-large Board members. They all have certain term limits. And then the people who represent, like, Miami-Dade College, Miami-Dade College is the one who determines how long you're going to be on the Board, right?

MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, I was trying to answer
the question. On the organization point of view, I think that would still appointed in perpetuity. Our by-laws don't permit that. Our by-laws will term me out of the position --

MR. BRANDON: The Children's Trust by-laws. MR. HOFFMAN: -- no matter who MCCJ wants
to --
DR. BAGNER: Yeah, it's the same for all. For FIU, too, it's the same thing.

MS. DE MOYA: So, MCCJ falls under --
MR. HOFFMAN: That's my expiration -- I have an expiration date for this Board. But, you know, again, that's not something -- I'm not concerned about it.

I'm still very actively involved in it, except we relish the fact that even though it can change in the future, and with the new by-laws, $I$ think it's after my term expires, when my term expires, the whole Board can look at the realm of similar organizations and decide whether MCCJ continues to fill that position. Under the proposed by-laws that I see, that's not -- that's not a fact today. That's something that can happen when $I$ term out, which is, again, in almost two years.

DR. BAGNER: We were also discussing, not
that we're concerned about -- part of it was, you know, thinking that your term is only a year and 10 months, but the other piece was, could we actually change the statute? Is that something we want to consider?

Because that was something else that we were curious, because we could hypothetically say to the Florida legislature, we need people to serve longer because of these positions where people become elected.

MR. HOFFMAN: I mean, again, maybe -- you could say that about any type of organization or Board. It's always -- it's hard if we have a six-year period -don't do it for me because $I$ wouldn't -- that's not what I would want. I think it's always good to have turnover.

But it is awkward, you know, you can't get somebody to do what Laurie's done or what $I$ would do after one year of service. You can't necessarily get them after two years of service.

It takes -- the way our Board operates right now, it takes time to get integrated, not just with the people but with the processes. And, you know, maybe six years for these types of positions is enough. Maybe it's not.

But just like Laurie's found the circumstances where the Trust or the Council saying, you
can't continue on the Board no matter what, we can always find, you know, another rule that we'll need an exception to.

So, I'm not sure, again, I don't know that changing that from six to eight or seven or whatever would make a difference. It might for the next person down the road. It might now.

The next person might go five years before really working their up through committees or being interested in doing something. And again, I'm not sure how long I'm going to do this, I guess would be my answer. Just like, you know, anything that we do in life, I'm not sure.

By the way, for anybody who doesn't know, I've been working at Greenberg, Traurig for 34 years. This week is my last week. So, I could tell my -- and I have farewell cocktails tonight where I have to say something, sort of a self-reflective, you know, I did find something last night that was very self-reflective about, you know, what people have done for me, etc.

But I think that -- I don't -- you know, we don't know. But $I$ think the rules seems to work. They just aren't working exactly right in this circumstance. And maybe it because somebody who's been here for three years didn't also raise their hand and say, yeah, I
would do that.
So, I don't know what the right time would be. I really think -- obviously, I enjoy working with all of you and the Board members who have been engaged in both the committees and at the Board. But that might not be the case in, you know, a year and however many months we're talking about. We don't know.

MS. DE MOYA: I asked you --
MR. HOFFMAN: You had another question?
MS. DE MOYA: No, I had already asked you.
MR. HOFFMAN: Did I answer?
MS. DE MOYA: No, about what goals -- what your goals were for the next couple of years.

MR. HOFFMAN: Well, as I said, I don't -- I really look at the questions -- or I don't think of the question right now as changing the organization so much as helping it work more efficiently, more efficiently for me and us as Board members and also more efficiently for the staff.

I feel -- and this is, again, something I think we need to revisit every so often, maybe in the coming year, $I$ feel that we're on the right track or that the Board has the consensus that we're on the right track in terms of what we're doing.

So, maybe if I had -- and I haven't sat and
reflected a long time on what $I$ would do, whether it's differently or where $I$ would want the Trust to go, there are a couple of things that $I$ think we can improve on.

I'm not sure how to do it, but it's intended to start engaging staff and others. One is, I'm still baffled, as a citizen of Miami, how we're so, you know, deep into the community, yet as a public presence or top of mind presence, that we're under the radar in a lot of -- maybe not in the school system and maybe not, again, in your particular areas, but $I$ don't see as much -- I don't see as much partnerships, for example, with the business community.

I don't know, you know, how far $I$ can get in thinking about it or helping staff do it. But it's one of the areas that's sort of, why do some of my friends, who are intelligent people, that might be a doctor, that might not be in pediatrics, why don't they know who the Children's Trust is, oh, by the way, I'm on the Board of the Children's Trust, why didn't they know before they talked to me?

And that's, you know, that might be a PR issue or it might be what we do and how we partner with other parts of the community.

I do think one of my focuses, which is what I wrote, is trying to figure out how to maximize the
money that we're spending. And I kind of see that, again, as a way of helping implement the way forward that we're already on the path of.

And I think a lot of that is internal, again, a lot of discussions with staff about budgeting. We have this budget mentality where we've always said we're going to spend $\$ 100.00$ but we're actually spending $\$ 80.00$.

And so I'm trying to move staff towards the budget mentality where we're going to budget for eighty for that and we're going to spend eighty or as close as we can, and we're going to take that twenty and we're going to actually spend it somewhere else. So, that's, again, a different type of focus.

And I also -- and I haven't done anything about it. But one of the things that's been in the back of my mind and I've just done a little background research on it to try to figure out what else we can do as the Trust to help service providers, again, our money.

And that doesn't mean the processes, which I think have been very successful in rolling out a process that sounds like the providers are giving us straight "A's" in terms of execution.

But it means, you know, potentially looking
at what's done in other communities or what else is available to support small business providers who can't afford to get on our reimbursement cycle, who happen to be banks or other community sponsors to either guarantee their loans to those, you know, some way of making sure we can see people a little differently than we have to make sure that we can get the right providers in our mix and whether that's the right provider, people who are, you know, not able to serve a particular community or can't afford to be a Trust provider and they should be. So, those are some ideas. And again, I didn't necessarily come to this as a foregone conclusion that this is what $I$ want to do. And I think that we, as a group, are doing a pretty good job of getting that overall guidance and the strategic planning.

So, I'm not sure $I$ can bring in any huge innovations to that, because that, $I$ think $I$ said, we're on the right track, but making sure that we're continuing to move forward on that track is really what my focus would be.

MS. DE MOYA: Okay.
MR. BRANDON: Are there any other questions from anyone else?
(NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)
MR. BRANDON: Well, sir, thank you for
coming in. Excellent presentation.
MR. HAJ: Thanks, Ken.
MR. HOFFMAN: And again, obviously, none of us, I think, undertake what we're doing, even if it's just serving on the Board, like I have and we all have, you know, that lightly that you could, obviously, taking this position, take it as seriously as $I$ can to help all of us do the right thing, to keep these guys -- keep these guys straight and keep them moving forward. Thank you.

MR. BRANDON: Thank you.
(THEREUPON, Ken Hoffman exited the meeting room.)

MR. BRANDON: All right. Discussion?
MS. DE MOYA: I mean, it seems like it's a good time for him, time commitment, because he's retiring, $I$ guess, retiring. So, I think that's a big plus.

I don't like the fact that we only have one person to choose from. That's always been an issue with me, you know, with this whole nomination of officers. That's always bothered me that, you know, we don't have, like, people to choose from. It sounds like, okay, here's one person and that's it. It's, like, we really don't have a choice.

But, I mean, thankfully, it's a good -- I
think, you --
MR. BRANDON: It's a huge responsibility.
And I'd imagine folks thought about it and, you know, excluded themselves from it because of the time commitment.

MS. DE MOYA: And I was very happy that to hear that, because in my mind, I was thinking about the time commitment. I was thinking, he's an attorney, how does he have time for all this, you know. I didn't know that he was retiring, so that kind of gave me a little bit of a sense of relief that he would have the time to do it.

DR. NEIMAND: So he talked about the streamlining that he did with the Finance \& Operations Committee.

MS. DE MOYA: I was going to ask about that.
DR. NEIMAND: Can you tell us what that was?
Not from him but from your perspective.
MS. DE MOYA: Yeah, what is he talking
about?
MR. HAJ: Well, we've had an issue over the last, like, eight, nine years that the fund balance is going the wrong way, going up. There's many different reasons for that.

One is that, you know, it takes us a long time to get the money out the door. If we increase ten million in revenue, we can't spend it that year. By the time we go through the solicitation process and come back to the Board, it's eight months later, so that ten million dollars goes in the fund balance.

So, we had a plan for this five-year cycle, and that's part of our rollback rate that's coming in front of you at TRIM. There's a five-year plan to draw down the fund balance.

That's why we put out 28 more million dollars in funds at the last cycle to start drawing it down and also look at utilization -- for providers to use their total grant as well as how we monitor that.

And, you know, we started doing better this year. Instead of doing new solicitation, we put additional money to our high-performing providers. So when we had additional money, it went out the door right away.

So, to find different ways to get the money out the door and to also have a strategy of a five-year cycle. So, we have a graph of a five-year cycle of where it should be in five years, and also to make sure that in five years, when we go through the next solicitation, it doesn't go off the cliff and we have no
money.
So we also have to sustain -- it's great going into a solicitation with extra money. Then you're not dropping people out of your portfolio. So, there's been a lot of work the last five, six months regarding fund balance and our millage rate and what we're going to do.

You know, we vote year after year. But we kind of have a projection of what it's going to look like year-2, 3, 4, 5.

MS. DE MOYA: What was he talking about, the process at committee meetings, that he was going to change the process of resolutions?

MR. HAJ: Well, part of that, while we send it to the attorneys, you know, we go through the attorney review, he wants it at the same time or before to look at it, not to go to the attorneys and then go to him. He wants to see it earlier, much earlier, the resolutions.

MS. DE MOYA: And that gives people more time to --

MR. HAJ: Yes.
MS. NUELL: Well, also, him. So I think that was one thing, when he became the treasurer and the chair, that $I$ asked for, so that, you know, if they're,
like, the committee chair, they each should have a very clear idea of what is happening in -- especially, you know, in their committee but also in finance, and that wasn't necessarily the case.

And as a Board, we have a fiduciary responsibility. So, you know, having staff come -- and we all sit on the various committees -- but having staff come with just the resolutions and we vet it and all of that, but I said, you know, I need to know that the treasurer really understands exactly what's happening.

So, that's where a lot of the discussion came, with looking at the resolutions beforehand and working with -- especially with Bill and, you know, understanding what was coming and being prepared and know what's coming forward and working with attorneys --

MS. DE MOYA: The whole Finance Committee gets it ahead of time or just him?

MS. NUELL: No, just him. And it's not necessarily even giving it ahead of time. I think he's been working towards working together as to what is coming.

Because it is our responsibility, or even, you know, getting financial reports and, you know, just developing that, and also understanding, like, it's a relationship.

It's, like, we're not here to, you know, to be difficult. So, that's also what he is, you know, referring to and trying to streamline -- not streamline it but just to know and understand as opposed to just getting stuff.

DR. BAGNER: I think what you're alluding to, which we all, being on the Programs Committee, our ears perked up, because that would be nice, I think, for us, at least for the leadership of the committee, to be involved earlier on.

Because I think, probably, at least from my perspective, we see resolutions and it's like the meeting's next week, and I can provide some feedback and I have discussions with my contacts on the Trust, but at the end of the day, it's hard to read all that material and soak it all in within a week, so I liked hearing that.

MS. DE MOYA: Right. It's usually less than that. You know, we usually get it, like, what, on Thursday and --

MS. NUELL: But also, same thing, like, you know, especially those two committees, really, you know, the chair should be working with the Programs people in advance, not just at the end when the resolutions are already done.

So, it's, like, at least, then you know or I know, let's say, as the chair, and I'm sure he'll feel the same way, that whoever is in their various chair -committee chair positions is taking care of that business, you know, and that's their role.

So, that was, like, I think, you know, it was just, you know, a sort of a growth thing. And I think he's made a lot of progress in that area and working on what the resolutions, you know, how they're written and what, you know, that process, because it's very labor-intensive and complicated, the resolution process, right, Imran?

MR. ALI: We spend a lot of time on it. Like Jim says, it goes to him. Then it goes to the attorneys. And if he has any input, sometimes he'll discuss it with me and the attorneys and he gives an input and it goes back and forth. So by the time it's finished, he has a good understanding as to what we're asking for and he's given an input.

MR. BRANDON: He seems to be really steeped in process in all areas, so it benefits the financial -Finance Committee mostly. But I'd imagine he would, you know, benefit the entire organization, like a good leader does.

DR. BAGNER: Yeah. I want to echo that. I
think one of the really neat things that he pointed out is that most of us on the Board, I think, have an expertise in children's services. He doesn't, but his expertise is something that probably a lot of us lack, which is the organizational structure and running -helping to run an organization, which $I$ think would be really helpful.

DR. NEIMAND: Well, I also like that he said, you know, reaching out more to the business community, because we really do -- they're the backbone, and if we can bring them in some way, I think that would be an enhancement for the work we do.

MS. DE MOYA: It would be, absolutely.
DR. BAGNER: Partnerships for $P R$, I mean, getting the word out.

MR. BRANDON: Well, I think we're --
MS. DE MOYA: He made me laugh when he said about that, you know, a lot of people that he knows -- I didn't laugh but $I$ thought it was funny -- that, you know, people don't know what the Children's Trust -- I was going to tell him, my mother asks me every month, I tell her where I'm going, where are you going again? What is it? What do you do there? What do you go there so much?

MR. BRANDON: Well, it looks like we're
ready to vote. Can I have a motion to approve Ken
Hoffman as our new chair of the Children's Trust Board?
DR. BAGNER: So moved, Bagner.
DR. NEIMAND: Second, Neimand.
MR. BRANDON: Okay. Voted and second. All
in favor?
(WHEREUPON, the Board members all responded with "aye".)

MR. BRANDON: All opposed?
(NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)
MR. BRANDON: All right. So it looks like we have a new chair. Seeing our former chair being a part of that process is refreshing.

MS. NUELL: No, I'm thrilled with that transition. I think, you know, it's a great next step. And every year, we're moving in the right direction.

DR. NEIMAND: And, of course, you'll get with him over the couple of weeks before he takes over. MS. NUELL: Or after, you know, during or after.

MS. DE MOYA: Well, you won't be able to during. He's still a Board member. She can't speak to him.

MS. NUELL: I'm not dead yet.
MR. BRANDON: So now that we have that down,
we have one more item of business to take care of. We have to offer -- to direct the CEO to solicit a new Finance chair, chairperson to be approved, not for September but for later, so that's coming up.

MR. HAJ: But my recommendation is, if you can give me the approval, as soon as the Board approves Ken, to send out the letter who's interested in the treasurer position.

MR. BRANDON: Okay, sounds reasonable.
DR. BAGNER: And remind me, so is it a slate change now, too, that the Board chair or everyone stays in position for the next year and 10 months?

MR. HAJ: Well, if Ken goes there, then the treasurer is going to open up. It will go until April. In April, we're going to have to vote for the new slate.

DR. BAGNER: Oh, April is the new slate?
MR. HAJ: Yes.
MS. DE MOYA: Yeah, I mean, in April, if somebody else decides they want, you know, and we would have to go through this process again.

MR. HAJ: For all four positions.
DR. BAGNER: It's not going to be a slate at that point. It's just one position.

MS. DE MOYA: So, I mean, that's what kind of, like, makes it difficult because really, if you
think about it, like, we just voted him as the chair, and he becomes chair in October. And in April, we have to put out that there's a new slate and two other people decide that they want to volunteer for chair, and then we would have to interview them because we have to, and then --

MS. NUELL: I guess we have to look at the by-laws. The by-laws say with a vacancy, you finish that vacancy and then you start term-2. So in this case, term-1 is only going to be from October to April.

But I'm just trying to think, like, I can only speak for myself, like, when we went to my second term, I guess we had a slate. But it just was, like, the same four, you know, it just carried over, even though maybe it was the Nominating Committee had to create a slate, but there was really no -- there was no change. I guess it doesn't necessarily have to be that way.

MR. ALI: Well, I mean, when Jim had it, when you interviewed, you still had to consider -- Jim had to do the same thing as with people who addressed it, and at that point --

MS. DE MOYA: Yeah, and everyone was, like, "no, thanks."

MS. NUELL: Yeah, so you still have to go
through the process.
MS. DE MOYA: Because you said you wanted to continue and that was it. Nobody talked about it. But, like, I could have said, "No, wait, I want to be chair now." We would have had to --

MS. NUELL: Yeah.
MS. DE MOYA: And you don't know, with new people coming in, somebody could be interested. There should be a better way.

MS. NUELL: What -- I think, what we realized is -- and I think if there was ever any change legislatively, it would just -- would the officers' terms supersede the term? So, if you were in place, and the lawyers said, "no."

And that's where -- and it's for the officers. And, you know, overall, I think it's probably in the best interests of the organization going forward -- it doesn't mean that you have to do that.

But then all of a sudden, it's, like, a lot of staggering, and we've worked really hard to have the stability and, you know, $I$ think the Board is in such a great place, so all of a sudden, you know, we don't want to start seeing that.

I mean, I wouldn't want that for the future because I think we're just working so much better
because people have been here a long time and we've gotten to know each other, you know. So that's something for other people to consider.

MR. BRANDON: All right. So I need a motion to direct the CEO to do a search for the Finance Committee chair.

MR. HAJ: Treasurer position. The treasurer position and the Finance Committee.

MR. BRANDON: Okay. Sorry, I stand corrected.

DR. BAGNER: So moved, Bagner.
MS. DE MOYA: That would be when?
MR. HAJ: As soon as the Board approves Ken.
MS. DE MOYA: Which would be when?
MR. HAJ: So as soon as the Board -- whether we could make it for the retreat or in September, no later than September 4th.

DR. BAGNER: We could do it at the retreat. MR. HAJ: Yeah, and then bring -- if we do that, then we could bring back the treasurer position to the September 4th. Then you have your slate.

MR. ALI: And that allows for the other transition, too.

MR. HAJ: Yes, and the at-large has been advertised as we had discussed, so we'll reconvene that
in September as discussed also.
MR. BRANDON: All right. Do we have a second?

DR. NEIMAND: I'll second it.
MR. BRANDON: Okay. So it's been approved, and we'll seek a new treasurer. All right. All in favor?
(WHEREUPON, the Board members all responded with "aye".)

MR. BRANDON: Anyone not?
(NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)
MR. BRANDON: Okay. Passed. Anything else?
(NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)
MR. BRANDON: It looks like we're adjourned.
(Whereupon, at 3:27 p.m., the meeting was
adjourned.)
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|  |  | 36:4 | 20:12 24:13 | longer 23:7 |
| innovation |  | last | lightly |  |


| looked | maybe | Miami | 25:17,18 31:11 | 13:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13:13 | 16:11 18:12 | 26:6 | 32:20 36:9 | neat |
| looking | 20:6 23:9,21, | Miami-dade | most | 36:1 |
| 13:10 18:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { 24:24 } \\ & \text { 25:21,25 26:9 } \end{aligned}$ | $21: 22$ | 13:2 18:2 36:2 | necessarily |
| 20:23 27:25 |  | might | mostly | $23: 17 \text { 28:12 }$ |
| 33:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MCCJ } \\ & \text { 13:16 14:4 } \\ & \text { 19:11 20:17 } \\ & 21: 10 \text { 22:6, 10, } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 24:6,7,8 25:5 | 35:22 | 33:4,19 |
| looks |  | 26:16,17,21,22 | mother | need |
| 36:25 37:11 |  | millage | mother $36: 21$ | need 16:12 20:2,10 |
| lot |  | 32:6 | motion | 21:16 23:7 |
| 13:6,24 14:17, | $20$ <br> mean |  | motion 37.1 | 24:2 25:21 |
| 24 26:8 27:4,5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mean } \\ & 12: 25 \text { 16:3,15 } \end{aligned}$ | million 31:3,6,11 | 37:1 | 33:9 |
| 32:5 33:11 |  |  | move |  |
| 35:8,13 36:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 2516: 3,15 \\ & 18: 1919: 8,10 \end{aligned}$ | mind | 17:10 27:9 | Neimand |
| 18 | 20:3,21 23:9 | 26:8 27:17 | 28:19 | $37: 4,17$ |
|  | 27:21 29:15 | 30:8 | moved |  |
| M |  | mix | 37:3 | never |
|  | means 27:25 | 28:7 | moving | 19:25 21:7 |
| made | 27:25 | model | 14:16 29:9 | new |
| 35:8 36:17 | meeting |  | 37:16 | 12:14 22:17 |
| make | 12:8 16:6 |  |  | 31:16 37:2,12 |
| 15:2,3 17:6 |  |  | MOYA | next |
| 21:17 24:6 | meeting's | 27:1,20 31:2, | 20:13,17 21:4, | 20:14 24:6,8 |
| making$17: 8 \text { 28:5,18 }$ |  | 17,18,20 32:1, | $18 \text { 22:10 25:8, }$ | 25:13 31:24 |
|  | meetings | $3$ | 10,12 28:21 | 34:13 37:15 |
|  | 16:6,18,25 |  | 29:15 30:7,17, | nice |
| many | 17:6 32:12 |  | 20 32:11,20 | 34:8 |
| 13:2 25:6 | member | 31:14 | 33:16 34:18 |  |
| 30:24 | 37:22 | month | 36:13,17 37:21 | 13:10 20:23 |
|  |  | 6:21 | much | 24:19 |
| 34:15 | members $21: 20 \text { 25:4,18 }$ | months | 14:14 15:21,25 | nine |
|  |  | 19:2 20:14,19 | 16:3 17:12 | 30.23 |
| matter | mental | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:2 25:7 } 31: 5 \\ & 32: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 19:25 25:16 | 30.23 |
| 22:6 24:1 |  |  | 26:11 32:18 | nobody's |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { maximize } \\ & 26: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 14:24 |  | 36:24 | 21:15 |
|  | mentality 27:6,10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { more } \\ & \text { 14:10,14 15:25 } \\ & \text { 17:13 18:8 } \\ & 19: 6,2021: 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | nominate |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { maximum } \\ & 21: 13 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | 12:23 |
|  |  |  | names | nominated |
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| 12:14 15:15 | 12:2 | part | perhaps | 29:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nomination | open-ended | 18:4,8 23:1 | 12:17 | point |
| 29:21 | 12:3,4 | 31:8 32:14 37:13 | period | 22:1 |
| none | operate | 37:13 | 19:3 20:11 | pointed |
| 29:3 | 14:21,23 | participate | 23:11 | 36:1 |
|  |  | 17:14 19:9 |  |  |
| NUELL | operates |  | $34: 8$ | portfolio |
| 21:9 32:23 | 23:19 | participating | 34:8 | 32:4 |
| 33:18 34:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Operations } \\ & \text { 15:7 17:5 } \\ & 30: 15 \end{aligned}$ |  | permit | position |
| 37:14,19,24 |  | particular26:10 28:9 | 22:3 | 13:12 14:4 |
| numbers |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { perpetuity } \\ & 22: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 16:8 19:12 |
| 18:6 | opposed 34:4 37:9 | particularly18:2 |  | 21:16 22:4,20 |
|  |  |  | person | 29:7 |
| 0 | organization | $\begin{aligned} & \text { partly } \\ & \text { 13:7 14:7 } \end{aligned}$ | 20:25 21:12 | positions |
| obviously | 19:7,15 20:22 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 6,8 \text { 29:20, } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 14 \text { 23:8,22 } \\ & 35: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 25:3 29:3,6 | 21:8,12 22:1 | 26:22 | personal | possible |
| off | 35:23 36:6 |  | 13:24 | 21:3 |
| 21:2 31:25 | organizational | 26:11 36:14 | perspective | potentially |
| officers | 13:15 14:11 | parts | 14:7,11 15:12 | 27:25 |
| 29:21 | 36:5 | 13:2 26:23 | 18:23 30:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PR } \\ & \text { 26:21 36:14 } \end{aligned}$ |
| often | organizations |  | 34:12 |  |
| 25:21 | 18:13 22:19 | path 27:3 | perspectives | prepare |
| one | others |  | 14:6,9 | 33:14 |
| 14:10,20 16:6, | 26:5 | pediatrics 26:17 | piece | presence |
| 15 17:25 | ought |  | 23:3 | $26: 7,8$ |
| 18:21,25 20:24 | $15: 14$ | people |  |  |
| 21:22 23:17 | 15.14 | 12:13 13:11,19 | place | presentation |
| 26:5,14,24 | over | 14:8,15 15:7, | 16:7 | 29:1 |
| 27:16 29:19,24 | 30:22 37:18 | 13,15 16:1,5, | plan |  |
| 31:1 32:24 | overall | 16 17:1 19:16 | 31:7,9 | presentations <br> 15:6 |
| 36:1 | overall 28:15 | 20:24 21:21 | planning | 15:6 |
| only | 28:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:7,8,21 } \\ & \text { 24:20 26:16 } \end{aligned}$ | $15: 23 \text { 28:15 }$ | pretty <br> 28:14 |
| 13:7 19:2 | P | 28:6,8 29:23 | played |  |
| 20:11 $23: 2$ 29:19 |  | 32:4,20 34:23 | 14:20 | probably19:23 34:11 |
| 29:19 | p.m. | $36: 18,20$ | 14:20 |  |
| open |  |  | plus | 36:4 |
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| 25 35:2 | 23:17,18 27:19 | 23:11 | 35:7 | 23:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| say-so | services | skill | sounds | steeped |
| 19:18 | 13:7,8,22 36:3 | 14:5 | 27:23 29:23 | 35:20 |
| saying | serving | small | speak | step |
| 12:25 23:25 | 29:5 | 14:12 28:2 | 37:22 | 12:14 37:15 |
| says | sets | soak | speaking | stepped |
| 35:14 | 14:5 | 34:16 | 19:6 | 20:7 |
| school | setting | social | specifically | still |
| 13:20 14:1,2 | 17:5 | 13:22 | 13:17 | 16:13 18:1,8 |
| 26:9 | seven | solicitation | speed | 22:2,15 26:5 |
| seat | seven $24: 5$ | solicitation $31: 4,16,25$ | speed 16:4 | 37:22 |
| ```second 37:4,5 seeing 14:1 15:9 16:5 37:12 seems 24:22 29:15 35:20 self-reflective 24:18,19 send 32:14 sense 30:12 seriously 29:7 serve 19:10 21:14 23:7 28:9 served 21:13 service``` | several | 32:3 | spend | stop |
|  | 12:13 | solid | 18:10,15 27:7, | 19:11 |
|  | short | 17:13 | 11,13 31:3 | straight |
|  | short 19:3 20:11,12 | solving | 35:13 | 27:23 29:9 |
|  | should | 18:4,6 | spending | strategic |
|  | 17:22 28:10 | somebody | 27:1,7 | 15:23 28:15 |
|  | 31:23 33:1 | 16:7 17:21 | sponsoring | strategy |
|  | 34:23 | 19:18 20:7 | 13:20 | 31:21 |
|  | side | 23:16 24:24 | sponsors | streamline |
|  | 17:25 | something | 28:4 | 16:15 34:3 |
|  | similar 16:7 22:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:23 20:2,10, } \\ & \text { 17,18 22:13,22 } \end{aligned}$ | staff $16: 14,21,24$ | streamlining 30:15 |
|  | sir 22.19 | 23:4,5 24:10, | 17:3,9 25:19 |  |
|  | sir 28:25 | 36:4 | 26:5,14 27:5,9 | $14: 20$ |
|  | sit | sometimes | 33:6,7 | structure |
|  | 15:7 16:16 | 17:1 35:15 |  | 36:5 |
|  | 33:7 | somewhere | 12:4 18:2 26:5 31:12 | struggling |
|  | situation | 27:13 |  | 17:13 |
|  | 20:5 | sort | started 20:1 31:15 | stuff |
|  | six | 13:21 14:5,6, |  | 34:5 |
|  | 23:21 24:5 | $1516: 20,24$ | starting <br> 16:11 |  |
|  | 32:5 | 17:10,18 20:9 | 16.1 | committees |
|  | six-year | 24:18 26:15 | statute | 17:18 |
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| successful | team | 18:21 26:3 | tolerate | try |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27:22 | 16:1 | 27:16 36:1 | 16:17 | 27:18 |
| such | telling | thinking | tonight | trying |
| 19:3,8 | 19:12 | 15:16 23:2 | 24:17 | 15:1 $21: 25$ |
| suggest | ten31:2,5 | thought30:4 36:19 | top | 26:25 27:9 |
| 17:7 |  |  | 26:7 | 34:3 |
| support | term |  | total | turnover |
| 28:2 | 18:25 19:1 | th | $31: 14$ | 16:3 23:14 |
|  |  |  | touch | twenty |
| supportive$18: 21$ | $22: 3,18,23$ |  | 13:3 | 27:12 |
|  | 23:2 | 24:24 | 13.3 | two |
| sustain | terms | 24.24 | touching | 15:18 17:25 |
| 32:2 | 16:10 18:24 | thrilled | 13:7 | 20:13,15 22:24 |
| system | 25:24 27:24 | 37:14 | towards | 23:18 34:22 |
| 13:22 14:1,2 |  | through | 27:9 33:20 | type |
| 26:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 14 \text { 17:21,22 } \\ & 28: 634: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 13:15 14:17 | track | 13:18 14:11 |
|  |  | 15:1 16:14 | 25:22,24 | 15:6 23:10 |
| T |  | 24:9 31:4,24 | 28:18,19 | 27:14 |
|  | thankfully $30: 1$ | 32:15 | transition | types |
| take 27:12 29:7 | their | Thursday 34:20 | 19:4 37:15 | 15:5 23:22 |
| taken$\text { 17:1 } 21: 2$ | 24:9,25 28:5 | time | Traurig$24: 15$ |  |
|  |  | $18: 15 \text { 19:3,4 }$ |  |  |
| takes | 35:3,5 |  | treasurer | under |
| 19:4 23:19,20 | 35.3,5 | 20:11 21:1,1423:20 25:2 | 32:24 33:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 1821: 14 \\ & 22: 10,21 \text { 26:8 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 31:1 37:18 | themselve |  | tried |  |
| taking | 17:2 30:5 | 26:1 29:16 | 20:4 |  |
|  | THEREUPON12:8 29:12 | 30:5,9,10,12 |  | $34: 4$ |
| 29:6 35:4 |  | 31:2,4 32:16, | TRIM |  |
| talk |  | $2133: 17,1$$35: 13,17$ | 31:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { understanding } \\ & 15: 2233: 14,24 \\ & 35: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12:17 | th |  | Trust |  |
|  | 22:9 29:8 | timeline | 15:23 18:9 |  |
| talked | 32:24 34:21 | 18:23 | 19:19 21:14 | understands |
| 18:22 26:20 | 35:7 |  | 22:5 23:25 |  |
| 30:14 | things | today |  | 33:10 |
| talking | 12:18 13:1 | 12:22 22:22 | $27: 19 \text { 28:10 }$ | understood16:2 |
| 12:4 25:7 | 14:16 16:15 | together | 34:14 36:20 |  |
| 30:20 32:11 | 17:9,14,19,25 | 13:1 33:20 | 37:2 |  |
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| undertake | 37:5 | whether | 12:16 35:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29:4 |  | 15:16 22:20 |  |
| until | W | 26:1 28:8 | wrong 30:24 |
| 16:18 20:1 |  | while |  |
|  | want | 19:10 32:14 | wrote |
| use | 12:20,22 18:18 |  | 12:18 26:25 |
| 31:14 | 23:4,13 26:2 | whoever |  |
| usually | 28:13 35:25 | 5:3 | Y |
| 34:18,19 | wanted | whole |  |
| utilization | 15:16 20:24 | 14:23 22:18 | year |
|  |  | 29:21 33:16 | 15:18 17:25 |
|  | wants |  | 19:1 20:14,19 |
| V | 22:6 32:16,18 | 19:14 22:3 | $22 \text { 31:3,16 }$ |
|  |  | within | 32:8 37:16 |
| various | way $14: 21,22 \text { 15:10 }$ | 21:7 34:16 |  |
| 33:7 35:3 | 16:17 19:21 | without | 32:10 |
| VERBAL | 20:16 23:19 | 12:25 |  |
| 28:24 37:10 | 24:14 26:18 |  | years |
|  | 27:2 28:5 | wondering | 15:18,20 22:24 |
| versus | 30:24 35:3 | 19:5 | 23:18,22 24:8, |
| 16:24 | 36:11 | word | 15,25 25:13 |
| vet |  | 20:6 36:15 | 30:23 31:23,24 |
| 33:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ways } \\ & 16: 13,1431: 20 \end{aligned}$ | work | yet |
| view |  | 13:5 18:1,8,12 | 16:21 26:7 |
| 22:1 | week $24: 16 \text { 34:13,16 }$ | 19:23 24:22 | 37:24 |
| vision |  | 25:17 32:5 |  |
| 15:22 16:10 | weeks | 36:12 |  |
|  | 37:18 | working |  |
| volunteer | Welcome | 12:21 24:9,15, |  |
| 21:16 | 12:10 | $23 \text { 25:3 33:13, }$ |  |
| volunteered |  | 15,20 34:23 |  |
| 12:13 18:20 | $31: 18$ | 35:9 |  |
| volunteerism |  | works |  |
| 13:6 | $24: 5$ | 14:1 |  |
| vote | WHEREUPON | worth |  |
| 32:8 37:1 | $37: 7$ | 18:16 |  |
| Voted |  | written |  |

